Effects of experimental mechanical manipulations on local inflammation in the jejunum of horses
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Objective—To determine characteristics of the inflammatory reaction in the jejunum of horses in response to various mechanical manipulations.

Animals—12 adult warmblood horses without gastrointestinal tract disorders.

Procedures—The proximal aspect of the jejunum in each horse was divided into 5 segments, and the following manipulations were performed: manual emptying, placement of Doyen forceps, enterotomy alone, enterotomy with mucosal abrasion, and serosal abrasion. Jejunum samples were collected before (control), immediately after, and 30 minutes after the end of manipulations and histologically evaluated to determine distribution of neutrophils and eosinophils.

Results—Macroscopically, all manipulations resulted in jejunal hemorrhage and edema. Compared with control samples, neutrophil numbers were significantly higher after manipulations in the serosa (after all manipulation types), circular muscle layer (after manual emptying), submucosa (after placement of Doyen forceps), and mucosa (after all manipulations except enterotomy alone). Eosinophil numbers were significantly higher in the submucosa after mechanical abrasion of the serosa and manual emptying versus control samples.

Conclusions and Clinical Relevance—Results indicated mechanical manipulation of the jejunum resulted in local inflammatory reactions characterized predominantly by infiltration of neutrophils. This could contribute to the development of postoperative ileus or adhesions in horses without macroscopically detectable injury of the jejunum during surgery. (Am J Vet Res 2014;75:385–391)

The development of POI is a major complication in horses after colic surgery. A factor that may lead to the development of POI is a local inflammatory reaction in the gastrointestinal tract. Mechanical manipulation can induce various degrees of intestinal inflammation in laboratory animals, pigs, horses, and humans.2–7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>POI</th>
<th>Postoperative Ileus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local effects of mechanical manipulation of intestines include an inflammatory response in the muscularis externa of the small intestine after surgical manipulation in rodents. This results in progressive neutrophil infiltration that peaks on postoperative day 1 and a decrease in circular muscle contractility in vitro. Mechanical manipulations can also affect intestine that has not been directly manipulated. Surgical manipulation of jejunum in rodents results in panenteritis. Such animals have a decrease in jejunal contractions and gastric emptying time and an increase in gastrointestinal transit time; in addition, colonic circular muscle contractility is decreased in vitro. The effects of laparotomy and traditional surgical techniques in pigs have been compared; performance of gastrectomy with intestinal handling results in more severe inflammation than laparoscopically assisted gastrectomy without intestinal manipulation. In human patients, traditional gynecologic surgical procedures cause a higher amount of mast cell activation in peritoneal fluid in contrast to minimally invasive surgical procedures. In horses, inflammation rapidly develops in colonic mucosa and serosa after direct mechanical manipula-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tion or jejunal ischemia and reperfusion. Neutrophil and eosinophil granulocytes are involved in the inflammatory response for both of those experimental conditions. Neutrophil granulocytes are inflammatory cells located predominantly in the blood circulation that are recruited to tissues in response to various stimuli. Neutrophil granulocytes are the predominant inflammatory cell type associated with intestinal inflammation after ischemia and reperfusion. In horses, neutrophil infiltration develops after ischemia and reperfusion injury in the small intestine and large colon and after distension of the small colon. In another study, neutrophil infiltration of jejunal serosa and, less severely, of circular muscle developed after mechanical emptying of the jejunum in preparation for experimental induction of ischemia and reperfusion in horses.

Eosinophil granulocytes are part of the resident cell population of equine intestinal submucosa and mucosa. Results of other studies indicate mucosal eosinophil granulocytes in horses respond to various stimuli such as parasite infection and mucosal injury in vitro after mechanical manipulation of the colon. Eosinophils in the colon also respond after experimental induction of jejunal ischemia and reperfusion without prior manipulation of the colon. Eosinophil granulocytes are capable of initiating and maintaining a local inflammatory reaction. Therefore, characteristics of eosinophil and neutrophil granulocyte responses are of interest for improving understanding of the pathogenesis and clinical consequences of intestinal inflammation in horses.

The objective of the study reported here was to determine characteristics of the local jejunal inflammatory reaction in horses after performance of mechanical manipulations, similar to those used during colic surgery. In particular, the objective was to determine the distribution and numbers of neutrophil and eosinophil granulocytes in jejunum after such manipulations. We hypothesized that mechanical manipulations would lead to a measurable local inflammatory reaction in each intestinal wall layer.

**Materials and Methods**

**Horses**—This study was approved by the Ethical Commission of the Veterinary University of Hannover, Germany. Twelve adult horses of various breeds with a mean age of 12.4 years (range, 3 to 25 years) and mean weight of 531.7 kg (range, 470 to 565 kg) without signs of gastrointestinal tract disorders were included. Two weeks before the start of the study, the horses received moxidectin in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations and fecal samples were evaluated to detect intestinal parasites by use of a fecal flotation test; results were negative (no parasite eggs were detected in fecal samples) for all horses. Horses were kept in stalls with paddle turnout and allowed unlimited access to hay and water for 2 weeks prior to the start of the study.

**Study design**—Horses received xylazine (0.8 to 1.1 mg/kg, IV), and anesthesia was induced with diazepam (0.05 mg/kg, IV) and ketamine (2.2 mg/kg, IV). Following anesthetic induction and tracheal intubation, horses were positioned in dorsal recumbency. Anesthesia was maintained with isoflurane in 100% oxygen and a continuous rate infusion of xylazine (0.7 mg/kg/h). Mean arterial blood pressure, heart rate, respiratory rate, respiratory pressure, fraction of inspired oxygen, and expiratory isoflurane concentration were monitored continuously and arterial blood gas values were measured every 20 minutes. Dobutamine lactated Ringer’s solution, and hydroxyethyl starch were administered IV to effect to maintain mean arterial blood pressure > 60 mm Hg. Horses did not receive lidocaine.

**Surgical procedure**—After routine aseptic preparation, a ventral midline laparotomy was performed and the proximal aspect of the jejunum approximately 1 m aboral from the duodeno colic ligament was exteriorized and divided into 5 adjoining 30-cm-long segments with umbilical tape without compromising the vascular supply. One of 5 manipulation types was performed for each of the 5 jejunal segments. For group 1 (manual emptying) manipulations, repeated manual decompression of intestine was performed (approx 1 stroke/s) for 10 minutes to simulate such manipulations typically performed during colic surgery (ie, running of the bowel). This manipulation type was performed with the most distal jejunal segment in each horse; the distal aspect of this segment was not obstructed with umbilical tape. For group 2 (Doyen forceps) manipulations, Doyen forceps was applied to the most proximal jejunal segments in each horse. These segments were placed in the abdomen for 30 minutes prior to jejunum sample collection.

The other jejunal segments underwent 1 of 3 manipulation types (determined by use of a randomization procedure). For group 3 (enterotomy) manipulations, an enterotomy was performed at the antimesenteric border without further manipulation. For group 4 (mucosal abrasion) manipulations, enterotomies were performed at the antimesenteric border followed by mechanical abrasion of the mucosa with moist sponges for 10 minutes. For group 5 (serosal abrasion) manipulations, mechanical abrasion of the serosa was performed with moist sponges for 10 minutes.

Full-thickness jejunal wall samples (2 × 2 cm) were collected at 3 times from the antimesenteric aspect of jejunal segments in each horse. Collection times included a control time (before the start of intestinal manipulations) and 0 (immediately after the end of mucosal [group 4] or serosal abrasion [group 5], manual emptying [group 1], or removal of Doyen forceps [group 2] or 10 minutes after enterotomy [group 3]) and 30 minutes after manipulations. After collection of all jejunum samples, horses were euthanized with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital while they were anesthetized. The same investigator (AKR) performed all surgeries and mechanical manipulations of jejunal segments.

**Histologic evaluation**—Jejunum samples were fixed in neutral-buffered 10% formalin and Bouin’s solution (formaldehyde, picric acid, and acetic acid), embedded in paraffin, and sectioned (thickness, 5 μm). All histologic examinations were performed by the same investigator (CCSHI) who was unaware of the manipulation groups for each sample of jejunum. Slides were monitored continuously, and arterial blood gas values were measured every 20 minutes. Dobutamine, lactated Ringer’s solution, and hydroxyethyl starch were administered IV to effect to maintain mean arterial blood pressure > 60 mm Hg. Horses did not receive lidocaine.

**Surgical procedure**—After routine aseptic preparation, a ventral midline laparotomy was performed and the proximal aspect of the jejunum approximately 1 m aboral from the duodeno colic ligament was exteriorized and divided into 5 adjoining 30-cm-long segments with umbilical tape without compromising the vascular supply. One of 5 manipulation types was performed for each of the 5 jejunal segments. For group 1 (manual emptying) manipulations, repeated manual decompression of intestine was performed (approx 1 stroke/s) for 10 minutes to simulate such manipulations typically performed during colic surgery (ie, running of the bowel). This manipulation type was performed with the most distal jejunal segment in each horse; the distal aspect of this segment was not obstructed with umbilical tape. For group 2 (Doyen forceps) manipulations, Doyen forceps was applied to the most proximal jejunal segments in each horse. These segments were placed in the abdomen for 30 minutes prior to jejunum sample collection.

The other jejunal segments underwent 1 of 3 manipulation types (determined by use of a randomization procedure). For group 3 (enterotomy) manipulations, an enterotomy was performed at the antimesenteric border without further manipulation. For group 4 (mucosal abrasion) manipulations, enterotomies were performed at the antimesenteric border followed by mechanical abrasion of the mucosa with moist sponges for 10 minutes. For group 5 (serosal abrasion) manipulations, mechanical abrasion of the serosa was performed with moist sponges for 10 minutes.

Full-thickness jejunal wall samples (2 × 2 cm) were collected at 3 times from the antimesenteric aspect of jejunal segments in each horse. Collection times included a control time (before the start of intestinal manipulations) and 0 (immediately after the end of mucosal [group 4] or serosal abrasion [group 5], manual emptying [group 1], or removal of Doyen forceps [group 2] or 10 minutes after enterotomy [group 3]) and 30 minutes after manipulations. After collection of all jejunum samples, horses were euthanized with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital while they were anesthetized. The same investigator (AKR) performed all surgeries and mechanical manipulations of jejunal segments.

**Histologic evaluation**—Jejunum samples were fixed in neutral-buffered 10% formalin and Bouin’s solution (formaldehyde, picric acid, and acetic acid), embedded in paraffin, and sectioned (thickness, 5 μm). All histologic examinations were performed by the same investigator (CCSHI) who was unaware of the manipulation groups for each sample of jejunum. Slides were
stained with Luna’s eosinophil stain for determination of accumulation and distribution of eosinophils. For performance of Luna’s eosinophil stain, Bouin’s solution-fixed slides were dehydrated in xylene, stained with Biebrich scarlet-hematoxylin, differentiated in 1% acid alcohol, and stained with lithium carbonate. With this stain, eosinophil granules and Charcot-Leyden crystals stain red, erythrocytes stain orange, and all nuclear elements stain blue.²³

Neutrophil granulocytes were identified by use of immunohistochemical staining of calprotectin.¹⁵,¹⁶ Although calprotectin is also expressed in activated macrophages, the correlation between the number of cells with calprotectin staining and the number of neutrophils is strong in tissue samples obtained from horses with experimentally induced ischemia and reperfusion.¹⁶ Formalin-fixed jejunum sample sections were dewaxed and treated with blocking agents to prevent nonspecific interactions between proteins and actions of endogenous peroxidases. Sections were incubated (8°C) with primary antibody against calprotectin (dilution, 1:400). Then, sections were incubated (25°C) with biotinylated immunoglobulin for 20 minutes. A commercially available immunohistochemical staining kit was used for detection of antigen-antibody complexes. Sections were incubated (25°C) with horseradish peroxidase–labeled streptavidin for 20 minutes. The antibody-enzyme complexes were detected with a chromogen until adequate color development was observed. Slides were washed in water to stop the reaction, counterstained with toluidine blue, and covered with mounting medium and then coverslips were applied.

Images of sections of jejunum were obtained by means of light microscopy, and image analysis software was used for histomorphometric analysis. The histomorphometric measurements were performed as previously described.¹⁸ The mucosa was divided into 5 zones as follows: the mean distance from the muscularis mucosae to the base of the villi was divided into 2 successive zones (M1 and M2); the mean distance from the base of the villi to the tip of the villi was divided into 2 successive zones (M3 and M4); and the luminal surface of the epithelial cells was zone M5. Absolute numbers of eosinophils and neutrophils were counted in 3 fields for each zone, and the mean number of eosinophils or neutrophils in each zone was calculated. These mean values were used to calculate the percentage of eosinophils or neutrophils by each of the 5 zones relative to the total eosinophil or neutrophil count in all zones for each horse as a measure of cell distribution in the mucosa.

In addition, the other histologic layers of the jejunum wall (serosa, longitudinal muscle layer, intermuscular layer, circular muscle layer, and submucosa) were evaluated to detect neutrophil and eosinophil accumulation. For this purpose, a rectangular field (250 × 390 µm) was superimposed over 3 randomly selected areas of each wall layer, the absolute number of eosinophils and neutrophils were counted, and the mean number of cells per square millimeter of each tissue layer was calculated. In the circular and longitudinal muscle layers, these rectangles were positioned adjoining the intermuscular layer; a 100× magnification was used for microscopic examination. For the serosa and the intermuscular layer, a magnification of 200× was used. The submucosa was further divided into 3 zones (SM1, SM2, and SM3), with zone SM1 next to the lamina muscularis mucosae and zone SM3 adjacent to the circular

Figure 1—Photomicrograph of a section of the proximal aspect of the jejunum of a horse indicating the division of mucosa into segments (M1 through M5) for purposes of histologic evaluation. The mean distance from the muscularis mucosae to the base of the villi was calculated and divided into 2 equal segments (M1 and M2). The mean length of the villi was calculated and divided into 2 equal segments (M3 and M4). Segment M5 was the luminal side of the mucosa. Luna’s eosinophil stain; bar = 500 µm.

Figure 2—Photomicrograph of a section of the proximal aspect of the jejunum of a horse indicating 3 zones of submucosa (SM1 through SM3): size of each zone, 250 × 390 µm) for purposes of histologic evaluation. Zone SM1 included submucosa adjacent to the muscularis mucosae, zone SM3 included submucosa bordering the circular muscle layer, and zone SM2 was located midway between SM1 and SM3. Luna’s eosinophil stain; bar = 500 µm.
muscular layer (Figure 2); a magnification of 200X was used for microscopic evaluation.

**Statistical analysis—**Goodness of fit for a normal distribution of model residuals of all variables was rejected by means of visual assessment of normal probability plots and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (ie, data did not have normal or log-normal distribution). Therefore, nonparametric methods were used for analysis of data, and median, minimum, and maximum values were determined for all variables. Independent samples were compared by use of the Wilcoxon 2-sample test. For repeated measurements, pairwise comparisons were performed with the signed rank test. Values of P < 0.05 were considered significant. Analyses were performed with statistical software.

**Results**

All tested mechanical manipulations resulted in macroscopically detectable marked edema and hemorrhage of intestinal walls (Figure 3). For group 1 jejunal segments, manual emptying manipulations resulted in significantly higher neutrophil counts in the mucosa of jejunal samples obtained 30 minutes after manipulations versus those in the control samples (P = 0.042), in the circular muscle layer of jejunal samples obtained 30 minutes after manipulations versus neutrophil counts in that layer of control samples (P = 0.031), and in the serosa of jejunal samples obtained immediately after manipulations (P = 0.040) and 30 minutes after manipulations (P = 0.002) versus neutrophil counts in that layer of control samples (Table 1). In addition, submucosa (zones SM1 and SM2) and serosa had significantly higher neutrophil counts 30 minutes after manipulation versus neutrophil counts in those layers of control samples (SM1, P = 0.016; SM2, P = 0.016; serosa, P = 0.020). Results of histomorphometric analysis indicated a significantly higher percentage of denuded villi immediately (P = 0.045) and 30 minutes (P = 0.002) after Doyen forceps manipulation, compared with the percentage in control samples.

For group 2 jejunal segments, application of Doyen forceps resulted in significantly higher neutrophil counts in the mucosa immediately (P = 0.042) and 30 minutes (P = 0.007) after manipulation versus neutrophil counts in that layer of control samples (Table 1). In addition, submucosa (zones SM1 and SM2) and serosa had significantly higher neutrophil counts 30 minutes after manipulation versus neutrophil counts in those layers of control samples (SM1, P = 0.016; SM2, P = 0.016; serosa, P = 0.020). Results of histomorphometric analysis indicated a significantly higher percentage of denuded villi immediately (P = 0.045) and 30 minutes (P = 0.002) after Doyen forceps manipulation, compared with the percentage in control samples.

For group 3 jejunal segments, enterotomy resulted in significantly higher neutrophil counts in serosa 30 minutes after manipulation, compared with counts in

---

**Table 1—Neutrophil counts in histologic layers of jejunal wall samples obtained from 12 horses before (control) and 0 and 30 minutes after various experimental manipulations.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histologic Layer</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Manual emptying</th>
<th>Doyen forceps</th>
<th>Enterotomy</th>
<th>Enterotomy and mucosal abrasion</th>
<th>Serosal abrasion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mucosa</td>
<td>1.0 (0–13.54)</td>
<td>7.0 (0–15.29)</td>
<td>6.23* (0–38.84)</td>
<td>14.34* (0–103.61)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–19.6)</td>
<td>2.13 (38–15.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submucosa</td>
<td>0 (0–15.28)</td>
<td>3.41 (0–13.80)</td>
<td>1.71 (0–10.28)</td>
<td>3.42 (0–13.80)</td>
<td>6.84* (0–211.91)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–17.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM1</td>
<td>0 (0–15.28)</td>
<td>1.71 (0–10.28)</td>
<td>3.42 (0–13.80)</td>
<td>6.84* (0–211.91)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–17.61)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–10.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM2</td>
<td>0 (0–6.84)</td>
<td>1.71 (0–10.28)</td>
<td>3.42 (0–13.80)</td>
<td>6.84* (0–211.91)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–17.61)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–10.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circum. muscle</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–6.84)</td>
<td>1.71 (0–10.28)</td>
<td>3.42 (0–13.80)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–17.61)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–10.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitud. muscle</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–6.84)</td>
<td>1.71 (0–10.28)</td>
<td>3.42 (0–13.80)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–17.61)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–10.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serosa</td>
<td>0 (0–6.84)</td>
<td>1.71 (0–10.28)</td>
<td>3.42 (0–13.80)</td>
<td>6.84* (0–211.91)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–17.61)</td>
<td>0.0 (0–10.26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as median (range) number of neutrophils per square millimeter. Values were determined by use of mean values for 3 microscopic fields of each histologic layer for each horse.

*Within a histologic layer, value is significantly (P < 0.05) different from value for control samples.

1Within a histologic layer and manipulation group, value is significantly (P < 0.05) different between jejunal samples obtained immediately after manipulation and those obtained 30 minutes later.

SM = Histologic submucosa zone. t0 = Immediately after the end of manipulations. t30 = 30 minutes after the end of manipulations.
that layer of control samples ($P = 0.006$) and samples obtained immediately after end of manipulation ($P = 0.004$; Table 1). In addition, enterotomy resulted in significantly ($P = 0.004$) higher neutrophil counts in serosa 30 minutes after manipulation, compared with counts in that layer of samples obtained immediately after manipulation.

For group 4 jejunal segments, enterotomy and mucosal abrasion resulted in significantly higher neutrophil counts in the mucosa 30 minutes after manipulation, compared with neutrophil counts in that layer of control samples ($P = 0.001$) and samples obtained immediately after manipulation ($P < 0.001$; Table 1). Enterotomy and mucosal abrasion resulted in significantly higher neutrophil counts in serosa 30 minutes after manipulation, compared with neutrophil counts in control samples ($P = 0.001$) and samples obtained immediately after manipulation ($P = 0.002$). The percentage of denuded villi for group 4 jejunal samples was significantly higher immediately ($P < 0.001$) and 30 minutes ($P = 0.004$) after manipulation than it was in control samples.

For group 5 jejunal segments, serosal abrasion resulted in significantly ($P = 0.010$) higher neutrophil counts in mucosa 30 minutes after manipulation, compared with neutrophil counts in control samples (Table 1). In addition, group 5 jejunal samples had significantly higher neutrophil counts in serosa 30 minutes after manipulation, compared with counts in control samples ($P = 0.004$) and samples obtained immediately after manipulation ($P = 0.041$). Eosinophil counts in the submucosa were significantly higher in jejunum samples obtained immediately ($P = 0.029$ for zone SM1) and 30 minutes ($P = 0.039$ for zone SM2) after manipulation versus eosinophil counts in those zones of control samples.

**Discussion**

In the present study, all evaluated mechanical manipulations of the jejunum induced a local inflammatory response. The manipulations performed were severe, but in the authors’ opinion, similar manipulations could be performed during colic surgery, especially when performed by a surgeon with a small amount of experience. Also, distended loops of small intestine may have a stronger inflammatory reaction to less severe stimuli versus physiologically normal intestine. The weakest inflammatory response detected in this study was for jejunal segments in which enterotomy alone was performed and the strongest response was detected in those in Doyen forceps and mucosal abrasion manipulation groups. The neutrophilic response seemed to be more pronounced than the eosinophilic response in jejunal segments. Infiltration with neutrophil granulocytes was detected in mucosa, submucosa, circular muscle, and serosa.

In the present study, the proximal aspect of the jejunum was evaluated, although many obstructive problems in clinically affected horses involve the distal aspect of the jejunum. However, during laparotomy of horses, mechanical manipulations are performed for all aspects of the jejunum and motility disorders that develop after surgery often affect proximal aspects of the jejunum. Also, on the basis of results of a study on in which the effects of mechanical manipulations on local inflammation in jejunum were determined, we did not expect a difference in the inflammatory reaction between proximal and distal aspects of the jejunum in horses.

The effects of mechanical manipulations on the local inflammatory reaction in jejunum of horses in this study were similar to those detected in other studies of laboratory animals. In 1 study, the effects of manipulation of the jejunum in rodents was determined. Results of that study indicated jejunal manipulation caused decreased jejunal contractions, gastric emptying and gastrointestinal transit times, and colonic circular muscle contractility in vitro; in addition, tumor necrosis factor-α, cyclooxygenase-2, and inducible nitric oxide synthase expression were significantly upregulated in manipulated jejunum, and neutrophil granulocytes were recruited into the muscularis externa of manipulated colon and stomach. Results of another study indicate an inflammatory response develops in muscular layers of the small intestine of rats after surgical manipulation, with a progressive increase in neutrophil infiltration that peaks 1 day after surgery. In the present study, neutrophil infiltration of the circular muscle layer was detected after manual emptying of jejunum. Manual emptying (ie, running of the bowel) is typically performed during colic surgery for horses with small intestinal distension. Small intestinal lesions are typically associated with small intestinal distension, and horses with small intestinal lesions are predisposed to the development of POI. Therefore, neutrophil infiltration of the circular muscle layer of small intestine may contribute to the development of POI.

Results of another study indicate horses with strangulating small intestinal lesions have increased numbers of neutrophil granulocytes in the serosa of jejunal margins at the oral aspect of a resection and in the middle aspect of resected jejunal segments. Results of another study indicate horses that undergo small intestinal resection and subsequently develop POI have neutrophil infiltration of serosa and nonsignificantly increased neutrophil numbers in circular muscle of jejunal margins at the proximal aspects of resections, compared with findings for horses that do not develop POI after small intestinal resection. Experimentally induced ischemia and reperfusion injury of jejunum in horses results in infiltration of neutrophils that is more pronounced in the longitudinal muscle layer than it is in the circular muscle layer. To the authors’ knowledge, no information has been published regarding the clinical importance of neutrophil infiltration of only 1 or both layers of the muscularis externa in equine jejunum. Interestingly, different types of injury seem to have different effects on the 2 layers of the muscularis externa in the jejunum of horses, and it remains unclear whether neutrophil infiltration of the longitudinal muscle layer or the circular muscle layer in jejunum...
may be more likely to predispose horses to the development of gastrointestinal tract motility disorders.

Local inflammation, the expression of kinetically active mediators, and a subsequent decrease in motility are possible etiologies for the development of POI.36-39 Other factors that may be involved in the development of POI include neuroimmune reflexes with an increase in the strength of the inhibitory sympathetic neural reflex40 and the effects of anesthetics.31,32 Other investigators15 found higher numbers of neutrophils in small intestinal samples obtained 18 hours after surgery versus numbers of neutrophils in samples obtained immediately after surgery and suggested that finding indicates an inflammatory cause rather than a neurogenic cause for POI in horses.

Another potential consequence of neutrophil infiltration of serosa, such as that detected in jejunum samples after manipulations in the present study, is adhesion formation. Investigators of another study15 compared the rate of adhesion formation after bowel resection by means of laparotomy versus that after bowel resection by means of laparoscopy in dogs; results indicated a significantly higher rate of adhesion formation after laparotomy, possibly because of the greater amount of mechanical manipulation during that procedure. Other authors34 reported an adhesion prevalence of 32.3% in horses that underwent repeated laparotomy; results indicated no association between the site of the initial lesion or resection during the first surgery and the subsequent location of adhesion formation. These findings suggest that surgical trauma is a more important factor than the type and location of initial intestinal lesions for adhesion formation after surgery. Therefore, mechanical manipulation of bowel during surgery (such as repeatedly handling bowel) should be minimized to avoid unnecessary surgical trauma.

The use of Doyen forceps in the present study resulted in a macroscopically identifiable injury of the jejunal serosa and mucosa and a significant increase in neutrophil counts in the mucosa, submucosa, and jejunal serosa and mucosa and a significant increase in the subsequent location of adhesion formation. Investigators of another study33 investigated the inflammatory cause rather than a neurogenic cause for POI in horses. This response could contribute to postoperative complications in horses with colic and may explain why factors resulting in increased intestinal handling are associated with an increase in postoperative morbidity. These findings suggested that manipulation of bowel during surgery should be minimized. Running of the bowel should be performed as briefly and gently as possible. On the basis of results of this study, the use of Doyen forceps during jejunal anastomosis cannot be recommended, and if such instruments are used, the forceps should be closed with the least amount of force that allows bowel occlusion. However, data regarding the intestinal inflammatory response to other methods of temporary bowel occlusion (e.g., Penrose drains) have not been determined, to the authors’ knowledge. The results of this study should not be used to make decisions regarding the use of lubricants (i.e., carboxymethylcellulose) during colic surgery because the study design did not allow differentiation between the effects of friction and effect of pressure on the jejunum during manual emptying.
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