Use of quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasonography to detect diffuse renal changes in Beagles with iatrogenic hypercortisolism

Hendrik Haers, DVM; Sylvie Daminet, DVM, PhD; Pascale M. Y. Smets, DVM; Luc Duchateau, PhD; Luca Aresu, DVM, PhD; Jimmy H. Saunders, DVM, PhD

Objective—To determine the feasibility of quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasonography (CEUS) for detection of changes in renal blood flow in dogs before and after hydrocortisone administration.

Animals—11 Beagles.

Procedure—Dogs were randomly assigned to 2 treatment groups: oral administration of hydrocortisone (9.6 mg/kg; n = 6) or a placebo (5; control group) twice a day for 4 months, after which the dose was tapered until treatment cessation at 6 months. Before treatment began and at 1, 4, and 6 months after, CEUS of the left kidney was performed by IV injection of ultrasonography microbubbles. Images were digitized, and time-intensity curves were generated from regions of interest in the renal cortex and medulla. Changes in blood flow were determined as measured via contrast agent (baseline [background] intensity, peak intensity, area under the curve, arrival time of contrast agent, time-to-peak intensity, and speed of contrast agent transport).

Results—Significant increases in peak intensity, compared with that in control dogs, were observed in the renal cortex and medulla of hydrocortisone-treated dogs 1 and 4 months after treatment began. Baseline intensity changed similarly. A significant increase from control values was also apparent in area under the curve for the renal cortex 4 months after hydrocortisone treatment began and in the renal medulla 1 and 4 months after treatment began. A significant time effect with typical time course was observed, corresponding with the period during which hydrocortisone was administered. No difference was evident in the other variables between treated and control dogs.

cause Doppler ultrasonography has low sensitivity for visualizing small arteries and arterioles, an accurate assessment of renal perfusion at the microvascular level is usually not possible with this technique and only large arteries can be assessed.3

The limitations of Doppler ultrasonography are overcome by functional hemodynamic imaging modalities such as nuclear scintigraphy, contrast-enhanced CT, or MRI, which are used to evaluate renal perfusion and function in human6–6 and veterinary medicine.7–10 However, these methods are not without limitations either, as they can be invasive, result in toxic effects, allow diffusion of tracers, or be influenced by tubular transport as they can be invasive, result in toxic effects, allow diffusion of tracers, or be influenced by tubular transport or glomerular filtration.11,12 The aforementioned methods are also more expensive than ultrasonography.

Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography is an imaging modality that promises to improve the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography by increasing the intensity of blood-pool echo signals in arteries, veins, and various parenchymal organs through IV injection of ultrasonic (microbubble) contrast agents.13 Because microbubble contrast agents remain solely within the vasculature, CEUS improves the detection of perfusion and vascularization in healthy and abnormal organs. In dogs and cats, the liver14–17 and spleen18–21 are the parenchymal organs most commonly evaluated with CEUS. Except for certain limitations when used to evaluate splenic tissue, CEUS improves the ability to distinguish between benign and malignant focal parenchymal lesions.22

Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography is useful in the assessment of focal renal lesions and renal trauma in human23,24 and as a diagnostic aid in veterinary medicine.25–27 The technique can be used to provide quantitative and qualitative information about renal perfusion.26–28 Contrast agents used for this purpose are superior to those used in the previously mentioned imaging modalities because they do not diffuse out of the intravascular space yet they are able to pass through all capillary beds because of the tiny diameter of the microbubbles. Moreover, in humans, such contrast agents are regarded as safe and free of hemodynamic effects.29 The arteriovenous transit time of ultrasonicographic contrast agents can be digitally processed, providing time-intensity curves that allow measurement of the rate of agent uptake or clearance in a specific ROI.30

In human medicine, quantitative CEUS can be used to detect changes in renal blood flow induced by physiologic and pharmacological interventions as well as diseases such as renal artery stenosis and chronic allograft nephropathy.31,32 In animals, with experimentally induced disease, similar uses have been reported.33–35 In veterinary medicine, reports of quantitative CEUS include those of studies involving healthy kidneys in dogs36,37 and cats.38,39

Hyperadrenocorticism is a disease caused by endogenous overproduction or exogenous long-term use of glucocorticoids.40 Other than the direct effects of glucocorticoid exposure in mature kidneys on glomerular and tubular function, indirect effects occur through vascular and hemodynamic changes (eg, hypertension) and an increase in cardiac output, total peripheral resistance, and renal blood flow.41,42 An increase in renal blood flow attributable to glucocorticoids has been observed in dogs and rats43–45 but may or may not occur in humans.46 In a human study40 of noniatrogenic hyperadrenocorticism, the effects of the disease on renal vascular resistance were investigated through calculation of resistive and pulsatility indices from duplex Doppler images.47 However, there is a paucity of studies in which CEUS or other functional imaging modalities have been used to evaluate renal blood flow in human and veterinary patients with hyperadrenocorticism. Moreover, to the authors’ knowledge, only few reports48,49 exist in human medicine and none in veterinary medicine involving use of quantitative CEUS in the evaluation of kidneys with diffuse lesions.

The purpose of the study reported here was to determine the feasibility of using quantitative CEUS to detect changes in renal blood flow in dogs before and after oral administration of hydrocortisone. The hypothesis was that quantitative CEUS would aid in the detection of differences in renal blood flow values between dogs treated with and without hydrocortisone, particularly values representing blood volume, with the exception of baseline (background) intensity. If this hypothesis was supported, the result would suggest that quantitative CEUS may have potential as an additional method for diagnosing diffuse renal diseases that affect renal blood flow.

Materials and Methods

Animals—Eleven healthy spayed female Beagles aged 8.4 to 11 years (median, 10 years), with a body weight ranging from 10.9 to 14.0 kg (median, 12.9 kg), were included in the study. The study was part of a larger investigation of the effect of hydrocortisone administration on renal variables and histologic characteristics. Dogs were judged to be healthy on the basis of their medical history and findings of physical examination, CBC and serum biochemical analysis, abdominal ultrasonography, and 2 consecutive measurements of urinary cortisol-to-creatinine ratio; urine sediment examination, dipstick analysis, specific gravity measurement, protein-to-creatinine ratio determination, and bacterial culture were also performed. The study protocol was approved by the Local Ethical Committee of Ghent University.

Study design—Dogs were randomly assigned to 2 treatment groups: 6 Beagles received hydrocortisone6 (median dose, 9.6 mg/kg, PO, q 12 h), and 5 others (control group) received cellulose-filled gelatin capsules at the same dosing interval. Treatment continued in this manner for 4 months, followed by tapering of the hydrocortisone dose over 1 month (10 mg/kg for 1 week, 5 mg/kg for 2 weeks, and 2.5 mg/kg for 1 week) until no medication was given at 5 months. The study ended at 6 months, which represented 4 weeks after treatment had completely stopped in both groups.

Dogs were monitored for clinical signs suggesting an excess of circulating glucocorticoids, such as polyuria, polydipsia, and polyphagia, and for skin abnormalities (eg, thin skin, hair loss, or comedones). Blood and urine samples were collected from both groups of dogs before treatment began (baseline) and 4 and
6 months after. Furthermore, at the 4- and 6-month points, an ACTH-stimulation test was performed in all dogs from which blood samples were collected and serum was harvested for measurement of cortisol concentrations before and 60 minutes after IM injection of 0.25 mg of synthetic ACTH. To evaluate renal function, the following variables were measured before and 4 and 6 months after treatment began: serum creatinine and urea concentrations, urinary protein concentration, and GFR as well as urinary concentrations of markers of glomerular function (uALB and u lgG) and tubular function (urinary retinol-binding protein and urinary N-acetyl-β-d-glucosaminidase). The urinary concentration of each marker was expressed as a ratio, indexed to the creatinine concentration. Glomerular filtration rate was measured as $\frac{\text{Cl}_{\text{crea}}}{\text{Cl}_{\text{endo}}}$ on the basis of a protocol reported for cats. Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography was performed on 4 occasions: before treatment began (time 0) and 1 (time 1), 4 (time 4), and 6 (time 6) months after treatment began. Ultrasonography-guided percutaneous biopsy of the left kidney was performed in each dog approximately 30 minutes after CEUS was performed at times 0, 4, and 6.

CEUS protocol—Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography was performed with dogs positioned in dorsal recumbency. Dogs were conscious and held in position by manual restraint. For several dogs, clear identification of the right kidney with a linear transducer was difficult, often resulting in insufficient image quality for further analysis. Therefore, only the left kidney was included in all evaluations. All CEUS examinations were performed with a multifrequency linear array transducer (5 to 7.5 MHz) and a dedicated ultrasonography machine equipped with contrast-specific imaging technology that allowed selective automatic tuning of the contrast agent signal and removal of tissue echoes, enabling selective identification of the microbubbles. The kidneys were scanned continuously in the longitudinal plane during the early arterial and late corticomedullary phases for a total duration of 2 minutes. The mechanical index was set at a low value (0.08 to 0.09) to achieve microbubble resonance with production of harmonics frequencies. Machine settings such as overall gain (61%), time gain compensation, depth (5 cm), persistence, and dynamic range were set at the same values for every examination. Only 1 focal spot was used and was set at the deepest part of the kidney. The transducer was maintained at the same position during CEUS.

An approximately 0.3-mL bolus of contrast medium (sulphur hexafluoride-filled microbubbles)/10 kg of body weight was injected twice IV into a cephalic vein via a 22-gauge indwelling catheter, immediately followed by a 2-mL flush of sterile saline (0.9% NaCl) solution. A 3-way stopcock was used to avoid any delay between injections of contrast agent and saline solution. Imaging was started simultaneously with contrast agent injection. At the end of every bolus injection, the timer was set at 0. In between the contrast agent injections, the microbubbles were destroyed by setting the acoustic power at the highest value and scanning the kidney, aorta, liver, and spleen for several minutes. This resulted in a decrease in acoustic shadowing artifacts attributable to remnant gas bubbles gathering within the tissues.

Renal biopsy protocol—Dogs were sedated with a combination of acepromazine (0.01 mg/kg) and butorphanol (0.01 mg/kg) injected IV. Ten minutes after injection, diazepam (0.2 mg/kg) was administered IV, followed immediately by IV injection of propofol to effect so that endotracheal intubation could be performed. Anesthesia was maintained with 2% isoflurane in oxygen. All biopsies were performed by an experienced radiologist, as described elsewhere. Large-bore 14-gauge needles (length, 9 cm; specimen notch, 20 mm) were used. Tissue sections from each biopsy sample were prepared with the following stains: H&E, hematoxylin-van Gieson, Martius scarlet blue, periodic acid–Schiff, Masson trichrome, and periodic acid–methenamine silver. Renal tissue slides were evaluated via light microscopy for presence of glomerular, tubular, interstitial, and vascular lesions, and a 4-point scale was used to grade lesion severity (1 = minimal; 2 = mild; 3 = moderate; and 4 = severe). All sections were histologically examined by 3 pathologists who were unaware of dog identity.

Quantitative analysis—All CEUS examinations were digitally recorded on a magnetic optic disc as 2-minute video clips, at a rate of 10 frames/s. Clips representing the second injection were imported into specialized computer software for objective quantitative analysis. Two circular ROIs containing

![Figure 1—Contrast-enhanced ultrasonographic image of the left kidney of an adult Beagle showing the placement of 2 ROIs manually drawn next to each other in the renal cortex (solid circles) and in the medulla (dashed circles) to measure renal blood flow. Care was taken to avoid blood-filled structures such as arcuate and interlobular arteries within the ROI. The image was obtained 12 seconds after contrast agent injection.](image-url)
a standardized amount of 712 pixels were manually drawn in the renal cortex, and another 2 were drawn in the medulla (Figure 1). The ROIs were placed at approximately the same location in the renal cortex (parietal aspect nearest to transducer) and medulla (center), with the 2 ROIs in each region being next to each other. Care was taken to avoid blood-filled structures such as arcuate, interlobar, or interlobular arteries within an ROI.

For each ROI, every frame (n = 1,200; 10 frames/s) of the complete clip was analyzed as an image stack and resulted in a list of 1,200 mean pixel intensities. The list was saved and imported into a spreadsheet software program. First, the values of the 2 adjacent ROIs of the cortex and medulla for both bolus injections (ie, 4 ROIs) were averaged, resulting in 1 mean pixel intensity value for the cortex and 1 for the medulla. The resulting values were used to create time-intensity curves for the renal cortex and medulla (Figure 2). In a few examinations, respiratory motion resulted in an ROI being located exterior to the renal cortex or medulla. In those situations, the mean pixel intensity values of the frames were deleted and replaced by mean values of adjacent frames.

The time-intensity curves were analyzed for the following bolus flow characteristics: BI, averaged for the first 3 seconds after injection; AT, defined as the first point in the curve clearly higher than (within mean pixel intensity values) the mean BI; TTP, defined as the interval from the start of contrast agent injection to the maximum intensity of the curve; PI, corrected for mean BI; AUC, corrected for mean BI, which is proportional to the regional blood volume; and Win – Wout, defined as the increase or decrease in the intensity divided by the time needed for a certain increase or decrease, indicating the speed of bolus transit. Wash in was calculated from data points between 10% higher than the mean BI and 90% of PI. Data points between 90% of PI and the end of the study were used to calculate Wout.

Statistical analysis—A computer software program was used to develop a linear mixed model, with assessment point (times 0, 1, 4, and 6), treatment (hydrocortisone vs no hydrocortisone), and their interaction as categorical fixed effects and dog identity as a random effect. The F test was performed to assess the effect of time and treatment on the values of the various renal blood flow variables. Significant differences for single comparisons were defined as values of P < 0.05. For multiple comparisons, the Bonferroni correction technique was used. Similar analyses were performed to assess the effects of time and treatment on renal function (Clcreat, Clmcr, Clmed, and serum and urinary renal variables).

Results

Animals—All dogs assigned to the hydrocortisone group developed clinical signs of hypercortisolism, such as polyuria, polydipsia, and dermatologic changes as well as increases in serum alkaline phosphatase and alanine aminotransferase activities. Some dogs also developed muscle atrophy and abdominal distention. After 4 months of treatment (time 4), 36 hours after hydrocortisone withdrawal, all dogs in the hydrocortisone group developed clinical signs of hypercortisolism.

Table 1—Mean ± SD values of renal blood flow variables in adult Beagles measured via CEUS before (baseline) and at 1, 4, and 6 months of hydrocortisone (initial dosage, 9.6 mg/kg, q 12 h; n = 6) or placebo (control) administration in adult Beagles. Notice the differences in shape and size of the curves at T0 and T4 (peak of hydrocortisone treatment). MPV = Mean pixel intensity value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable by location</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>1 month</th>
<th>4 months</th>
<th>6 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renal cortex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>3.44 ± 1.17</td>
<td>3.20 ± 1.16</td>
<td>4.51 ± 1.24</td>
<td>8.37 ± 3.74*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>76.4 ± 11.79</td>
<td>71.99 ± 13.87</td>
<td>73.35 ± 9.87</td>
<td>94.96 ± 13.87*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>6.03 ± 1.12</td>
<td>6.94 ± 1.39</td>
<td>6.34 ± 1.89</td>
<td>6.68 ± 1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>11.34 ± 2.37</td>
<td>12.23 ± 3.69</td>
<td>10.91 ± 11.99</td>
<td>12.73 ± 3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt</td>
<td>20.75 ± 5.62</td>
<td>17.73 ± 5.88</td>
<td>18.14 ± 5.99</td>
<td>22.36 ± 6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm</td>
<td>-0.39 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-0.44 ± 0.12</td>
<td>-0.37 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-0.46 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>4,812.61 ± 975.80</td>
<td>4,671.89 ± 1,278.79</td>
<td>4,466.12 ± 1,414.31</td>
<td>6,181.31 ± 1,876.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Renal medulla        |          |         |          |          |
| BI                   | 2.99 ± 2.38 | 3.22 ± 2.39 | 4.48 ± 1.37 | 7.12 ± 4.02* |
| PI                   | 50.31 ± 9.85 | 59.07 ± 11.35 | 62.94 ± 13.87 | 81.41 ± 16.08*† |
| AT                   | 13.00 ± 1.79 | 12.25 ± 2.21 | 11.40 ± 1.19 | 13.73 ± 1.66 |
| TTP                  | 28.4 ± 2.30 | 28.00 ± 1.79 | 26.8 ± 1.09 | 27.5 ± 1.64 |
| Wt                   | 4.48 ± 1.56 | 4.92 ± 1.52 | 4.33 ± 0.53 | 5.72 ± 1.86 |
| Wm                   | -0.42 ± 0.13 | -0.47 ± 0.09 | -0.42 ± 0.16 | -0.50 ± 0.17 |
| AUC                  | 3,411.34 ± 947.20 | 3,099.68 ± 1,248.29 | 3,597.55 ± 1,246.67 | 5,303.97 ± 1,417.44*† |

*Value represents a significant (P < 0.05) treatment effect. †Value represents a significant (P < 0.05) time effect.
failed to respond adequately to ACTH stimulation. At the 6-month assessment point (time 6), 4 weeks after hydrocortisone treatment had been completely withdrawn, clinical signs appeared to have resolved, except for skin changes in some dogs, and clinicopathologic abnormalities were no longer evident. Response to the ACTH stimulation test was within reference limits for all dogs. None of the dogs in the control group developed signs of hypercortisolism or other abnormalities.

Effects of hydrocortisone administration on renal function—Hydrocortisone administration resulted in a significant increase in GFR: $\text{Cl}_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{Cl}_{\text{med}}$ were significantly higher in the hydrocortisone group than in the control group at time 4. At all time points, the severity of proteinuria was higher in the control group than in the hydrocortisone group. No significant difference in values of urinary markers was evident between groups. At time 4, proteinuria as well as ratios of uALB to creatinine concentration and uIgG to creatinine concentration were higher than baseline in the hydrocortisone group.

CEUS of the renal cortex—Mean ± SD values of renal blood flow variables for all treatment-time combinations were summarized (Table 1). A significant ($P = 0.044$) interaction between treatment and time was found for BI. For the same variable, a significant ($P < 0.001$) time effect relative to the value before treatment began (time 0) was identified at times 1 and 4 in the hydrocortisone group. A similar pattern for BI was observed in control dogs; however, a significant ($P < 0.001$) time effect relative to time 0 was evident at time 4. Pairwise comparisons of specific treatment-time combinations revealed a significant ($P = 0.006$) treatment effect at time 1.

In the hydrocortisone group, PI values followed a time course similar to that of the BI values. A significant interaction between treatment and time was detected for PI. A significant time effect relative to time 0 was identified at time 1 ($P < 0.001$) and time 4 ($P < 0.001$). Pairwise comparisons of specific treatment-time combinations revealed a significant ($P = 0.001$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively) treatment effect at the same time points.

For $W_\text{c}$ of contrast agent, a significant ($P = 0.010$) time effect was found at time 4 for the treated dogs, with significantly higher values at that point than at times 0 or 6. The pattern for AUC values was similar to that of BI values in treated dogs, with a significant ($P < 0.001$) difference between time 0 and time 4 and a significant ($P = 0.010$) difference between the hydrocortisone and control groups at time 4. No significant differences were identified among values for AT, TTP, $W_{\text{in}}$, or $W_{\text{out}}$.

CEUS of the renal medulla—Baseline contrast agent intensity in the medulla in treated dogs had a pattern different from that in the cortex. Values increased and reached a maximum at time 1, with gradual decrease toward time 4 and additional decrease at time 6, at which point values were slightly lower than at time 6. A significant ($P = 0.006$) time effect was observed for time 1, compared with time 0, with values at time 1 being higher. The BI in the control dogs had a pattern similar to that in the cortex, with a significant ($P = 0.002$) time effect. No treatment effect was evident.

On the other hand, the PI in treated dogs had a pattern similar to that in the renal cortex, with the same significant time effects between times 1 and 0 ($P < 0.001$) and times 4 and 0 ($P < 0.001$). Significant treatment effects were evident at time 1 ($P = 0.012$) and time 4 ($P = 0.004$), as were observed in the cortex.

The AUC values in treated dogs were similar in pattern to those in the renal cortex. A significant time effect was evident at time 1 ($P < 0.001$) and time 4 ($P < 0.001$), compared with at time 0. Pairwise differences of specific treatment-time combinations revealed significant treatment effects at time 1 ($P = 0.013$) and time 4 ($P = 0.008$). No significant differences were identified among values for AT, TTP, $W_{\text{in}}$, or $W_{\text{out}}$.

Renal biopsy specimens—No significant vascular changes were identified in any of the biopsy specimens. However, glomerular, tubular, and interstitial lesions were observed. Already at time 0, mild to moderate diffuse and global glomerulosclerosis was detected (4/6 treated dogs and 3/5 control dogs). Minimal to mild interstitial inflammation (2/6 treated dogs and 3/5 control dogs) and fibrosis (2/5 control dogs) were identified.

Glomerulosclerosis was more pronounced in both dog groups at time 4 than at time 0. Specimens from control dogs had evidence of progression of the preexisting glomerulosclerotic lesions noted at time 0. Three treated dogs that did not have interstitial inflammation at time 0 developed minimal to mild interstitial inflammation and fibrosis. In all 5 control dogs, interstitial inflammation remained at time 4, progressing in severity in 4 dogs.

By time 6, glomerulosclerosis was evident in all dogs, with increasing percentages of obsolescent glomeruli. Interstitial inflammation and fibrosis persisted in control dogs, and scores persisted or increased in treated dogs by time 6.

Discussion

In the present study, quantitative CEUS of the renal cortex and medulla in healthy Beagles revealed significant differences in values of blood flow variables between those treated with and without hydrocortisone for a prolonged period (9.6 mg/kg, PO, q 12 h for 4 months, followed by a tapering dosage for 1 month). The renal blood flow variables measured can be grouped into those representing blood volume (PI and AUC) and those representing blood flow velocity (AT, TTP, $W_{\text{in}}$, and $W_{\text{out}}$). The hydrocortisone-induced increase detected in our study in PI and AUC can be likely explained by the effect of glucocorticoids on renal vascularity and hemodynamics, subsequently leading to an increase in GFR. The GFR increase at time 4 was confirmed by the significant increase in $\text{Cl}_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{Cl}_{\text{med}}$, more severe proteinuria, uALB-to-creatinine concentration ratio, uIgG-to-creatinine concentration ratio, and urinary retinol-binding protein-to-creatinine concentration ratio in the hydrocortisone group, compared with in the control group.

Species-specific differences in the mechanism of glucocorticoid-induced changes in renal blood flow and GFR exist.46 With the exception of systemic effects such as hypertension, plasma volume expansion

(mineralocorticoid effects), and changes in vascular tone. Glucocorticoid administration in dogs reportedly causes a significant increase in renal blood flow, accompanied by a decrease in renal vascular resistance.45,46 Moreover, catabolic effects of glucocorticoids lead to increases in plasma amino acid concentrations, leading to an increase in renal blood flow and GFR due to renal vasodilation.45 Our results indicated that quantitative CEUS was able to reveal an increase in renal blood volume values, suggesting the combined effect of glucocorticoids (decrease in renal vascular resistance, renal vasodilation, and plasma volume expansion) on renal hemodynamics.

A limitation of the present study was that no correlation could be made between CEUS and biopsy results, as histologic evaluation of biopsy specimens revealed no significant vascular changes. Additionally, the potential influence of consecutive renal biopsies on our results needs to be considered. At the 4-month CEUS evaluation, only 1 biopsy had been performed previously, whereas by the time of the 6-month evaluation, 2 biopsies had been performed. Because ROIs were established in approximately the same location as the biopsies, these biopsies could potentially have affected renal blood flow values. In a study45 of the effect of percutaneous renal biopsy on the appearance of contrast harmonic ultrasonographic images, biopsy-associated lesions resolved 2 to 3 weeks after the biopsies had been performed and there was no effect of hydrocortisone administration on healing. In that study, however, only the morphological effect on renal vasculature and healing was assessed, and no quantification of contrast agent was performed. Therefore, an effect on renal blood flow variables could not be fully excluded. It would be less likely that, had lesions resolved 2 to 3 weeks after biopsy, a significant influence on renal blood flow values would remain 4 and 2 months after biopsy, at times 4 and 6, respectively. Moreover, because the same standardized procedure was used for all biopsies in all dogs, any biopsy effect should have been no different between treated and control dogs. Therefore, absolute values in both groups could be different but treatment effect would not be influenced, although theoretically, the time effect could be impacted. One might expect a larger influence (increase) of renal blood flow at time 6 than at time 4 because 2 biopsies were performed prior to CEUS at time 6; however, that did not happen. Additionally, it would be unlikely that results for the renal medulla and cortex would be similar when biopsies were only obtained from the cortex.

In fluid dynamics, the Bernoulli principle states that for an inviscid flow, an increase in the speed of the fluid occurs simultaneously with a decrease in pressure or a decrease in the fluid's potential energy.54 Theoretically, as a consequence of this principle and of GFR maintenance as an important function of kidneys, an increase in renal blood volume and hence, pressure, results in a decrease in blood flow velocity in the afferent arterial vessels.54 In the present study, however, none of the blood flow velocity values differed significantly between hydrocortisone-treated and control dogs. One possible cause might be the previously reported decrease in renal vascular resistance that occurs with an increase in the volume of circulating glucocorticoids in dogs.45,46 Glomerular filtration rate is determined by intraglomerular pressure, which is controlled by vasoconstriction of afferent and efferent arterioles. As shown in rats, nitrous oxide–mediated vasodilation of these pre- and postglomerular arterioles results in an increase in renal blood flow and GFR.44 This decrease in resistance possibly neutralizes the increase in blood pressure that results from larger blood volume, leading to unhampered blood flow from efferent to afferent arterioles, with no noticeable change in velocity.

When blood flow results for the renal medulla were compared with those of the cortex in the present study, similarities in significant time and treatment effects between the 2 became apparent. These similarities were reasonable given that the renal medulla does not receive blood directly from a main artery but is supplied by 10% to 15% of efferent arterioles from the cortex.55 Consequently, changes in medullary blood flow depend on changes in cortical flow.55 Lower PI amplitudes and greater blood flow velocities were evident in the medulla versus the cortex after hydrocortisone treatment. Renal vascular anatomy provides an explanation for this difference in perfusion.56 Once blood enters the renal medulla, it has already passed the renal artery, several arterial branches, pre- and postglomerular arterioles, and glomerular capillaries located in the cortex. Therefore, contrast agent reaches the medulla at a later stage, resulting in an increase in AT and TTP values. The decrease in PI is attributable to the less extensive capillary network in the medulla versus the cortex. Moreover, as contrast agent passes the cortex, a portion of the microbubbles are destroyed before entering the medulla.

One remarkable result of our study was the significantly higher values of BI at times 1 and 4 in the cortex of treated dogs, and at time 1 in the medulla, compared with in other regions. The BI represented the ultrasonographic intensity of renal tissue averaged over a period of 3 seconds after injection of contrast agent, before its arrival in the renal cortex. No significant change in BI values was expected. However, approximately similar significant changes as observed for blood volume (vs blood flow) variables were present, with increasing values in the renal cortex of hydrocortisone-treated dogs at times 1 and 4 and at time 1 in the medulla. A significant treatment effect was also observed at time 1 in renal cortical tissue, with a time course similar to that of the blood volume variables. Surprisingly, a significant increase in BI values was also observed at time 4 in the renal cortex and medulla of control dogs, with again similar time course. Possible explanations include erroneous machine settings or systematic technical error at certain time points or an increase in renal echogenicity. Technical errors were unlikely to have influenced the findings because standardized settings were used, the same CEUS procedure was performed by the same sonographer, and one would expect erroneous settings to result in nondifferential misclassification of values between the cortex and medulla or between treatment groups.

An increase in renal echogenicity would most likely explain the differences in BI. In hepatic tissue, an increase in circulating glucocorticoids results in gly-
cogen deposition, which leads to an increase in liver echogenicity.9 The same does not occur in kidneys. Another possibility is the influence of repeated biopsies on renal echogenicity; however, as a standardized biopsy procedure was used, this option is an unlikely explanation. When biopsy specimens were examined, differences in interstitial fibrosis and diffuse and global glomerulosclerosis in both treated and control dogs were observed. At time 0 (before hydrocortisone administration), minimal to mild interstitial fibrosis and mild to moderate glomerulosclerosis were already evident in several dogs, which might have been attributable to the age of the dogs.16,23 When findings at time 4 were compared with those at time 0, glomerulosclerosis was more pronounced in both treatment groups and 2 additional treated dogs developed minimal to mild fibrosis. By time 6, all dogs had developed glomerulosclerosis, interstitial fibrosis persisted in control dogs, and lesion severity appeared to have increased in treated dogs. One possible explanation for the increased severity is the acceleration of age-related changes in the treated group by hydrocortisone administration.

Because histologic changes also worsened in the control group with time, another explanation could be progression of preexisting renal lesions. Fibrotic and sclerotic lesions increase the echogenicity of parenchymal organs and could therefore explain the observed differences in BI at time 4 in both treatment groups. However, because histologic lesions were worse at time 4, this might suggest that BI should have been higher at this time point, and yet, the opposite was found.

Another remarkable finding was that Wm (an indicator of blood flow velocity) was influenced by time in the renal cortex of treated dogs, with higher values at time 4 than at time 0. No effect of treatment was present for this variable. Hence, the steep slope of the time-intensity curve indicating higher inflow of renal blood was present at this time point in treated dogs, when hydrocortisone administration was at its maximum, but also in control dogs. Biopsy specimens from both treated and control dogs had evidence of increased interstitial inflammation at time 4 versus 0, which could be a possible explanation for the increase in Wm. However, interstitial inflammation was more severe at time 6, whereas Wm values were lower. These observed changes cannot be explained.

Although only left kidneys were investigated in the present study, results suggested that quantitative CEUS can be used to detect changes in certain renal blood flow variables in dogs undergoing hydrocortisone treatment, which is presumed to have affected renal hemodynamics. Because of this, quantitative CEUS could have potential in the discrimination among healthy renal tissue and benign or malignant causes of hypechoic kidneys. Additional studies are warranted to assess the diagnostic value of quantitative CEUS in dogs with diffuse renal disease.
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