Effects of intravenous administration of lidocaine on the minimum alveolar concentration of sevoflurane in horses
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Objective—To determine effects of a continuous rate infusion of lidocaine on the minimum alveolar concentration (MAC) of sevoflurane in horses.

Animals—8 healthy adult horses.

Procedures—Horses were anesthetized via IV administration of xylazine, ketamine, and diazepam; anesthesia was maintained with sevoflurane in oxygen. Approximately 1 hour after induction, sevoflurane MAC determination was initiated via standard techniques. Following sevoflurane MAC determination, lidocaine was administered as a bolus (1.3 mg/kg, IV, over 15 minutes), followed by constant rate infusion at 50 µg/kg/min. Determination of MAC for the lidocaine-sevoflurane combination was started 30 minutes after lidocaine infusion was initiated. Arterial blood samples were collected after the lidocaine bolus, at 30-minute intervals, and at the end of the infusion for measurement of plasma lidocaine concentrations.

Results—IV administration of lidocaine decreased mean ± SD sevoflurane MAC from 2.42 ± 0.24% to 1.78 ± 0.38% (mean MAC reduction, 26.7 ± 12%). Plasma lidocaine concentrations were 2,589 ± 811 ng/mL at the end of the bolus; 2,065 ± 441 ng/mL, 2,243 ± 699 ng/mL, 2,168 ± 339 ng/mL, and 2,254 ± 215 ng/mL at 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes of infusion, respectively; and 2,254 ± 215 ng/mL at the end of the infusion. Plasma concentrations did not differ significantly among time points.

Conclusions and Clinical Relevance—Lidocaine could be useful for providing a more balanced anesthetic technique in horses. A detailed cardiovascular study on the effects of IV infusion of lidocaine during anesthesia with sevoflurane is required before this combination can be recommended. (Am J Vet Res 2011;72:446-451)
research group and others have revealed that lidocaine administration allows a lower anesthetic vaporizer setting to be used\textsuperscript{16,17} and that the lidocaine administration is not associated with adverse effects on heart rate or MAP\textsuperscript{13,17–19}. Because of the potential benefits of IV administration of lidocaine on gastrointestinal tract motility and inflammation, pain management, and the reported MAC reduction, the use of IV infusions of lidocaine during anesthesia in horses has increased over the past few years.

Sevoflurane has similar cardiovascular and respiratory effects, compared with those for isoflurane,\textsuperscript{20} but the use of sevoflurane is increasing in horses because its lower blood-gas partition coefficient may result in more rapid, and possibly smoother, recovery characteristics, compared with those for isoflurane.\textsuperscript{21,22} However, when higher doses ($\geq 1.5$ MAC) are used, sevoflurane appears to cause greater depression of both respiratory and cardiovascular performance than is evident for equipotent doses of isoflurane,\textsuperscript{23} which makes the use of a balanced technique with sevoflurane potentially beneficial.

The objective of the study reported here was to determine the effects of a continuous rate infusion of lidocaine on the sevoflurane MAC in horses. We hypothesized that lidocaine would decrease the sevoflurane MAC in horses in a manner similar to the reduction of halothane MAC in ponies.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**—Eight healthy adult horses were used in the study. The horses comprised 4 geldings and 4 mares that ranged from 3 to 15 years of age (mean $\pm$ SD, 9 $\pm$ 5 years) and that weighed between 334 and 482 kg (mean $\pm$ SD, 417 $\pm$ 59 kg). The study protocol was approved by the Colorado State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Experimental procedures**—Horses had access to grass hay and water at all times, except for the morning of the experiment. Approximately 1 hour before induction of anesthesia, the body weight, rectal temperature, heart rate, and respiratory rate of each horse were recorded. A 14-gauge catheter was placed percutaneously in the right jugular vein of each horse to facilitate administration of anesthetic agents and maintenance fluids. Horses were sedated by administration of xylazine hydrochloride (0.7 mg/kg, IV) 5 minutes before anesthesia was induced by IV administration of ketamine in a transverse facial artery and connected to a calibrated pressure transducer for direct measurement of MAP. At the beginning of each experimental day, the transducer was calibrated by use of a mercury column and was positioned and zeroed at the level of the sternum. Arterial blood samples were obtained from the catheter positioned in the transverse facial artery and used for $P_{aCO_2}$, $P_{aCO_2}$, and pH analysis.\textsuperscript{8} A calibrated thermistor probe\textsuperscript{9} was placed in the nasopharynx of each horse, and blankets, forced-air heat, and heat lamps were used to maintain mean $\pm$ SD body temperature as close as possible to 37 $\pm$ 1°C. Lactated Ringer’s solution was infused IV at a rate of 5 mL/kg/h during the anesthetic period. The urinary bladder of each horse was aseptically catheterized, and the urinary catheter was connected to a collection bag to permit continuous drainage of urine throughout anesthesia to minimize distention of the urinary bladder during recumbency and prior to anesthetic recovery.

Mechanical ventilation was initiated once direct monitoring of arterial blood pressures was available; it was accomplished with a bag-in-barrel system powered by a ventilator.\textsuperscript{7} Peak airway pressures in the range of 18 to 22 cm H$_2$O and a respiratory rate of 4 to 8 breaths/min were used to maintain mean $\pm$ SD $P_{aCO_2}$ at 45 $\pm$ 5 mm Hg. Inspired and end-tidal sevoflurane concentration was continuously measured in samples obtained through a catheter positioned in the lumen of the endotracheal tube. Sevoflurane concentration was measured via an automated, calibrated, quartz crystal anesthetic agent analyzer.\textsuperscript{1} The analyzer was calibrated before and evaluated during and after each experiment by use of appropriate gas standards.\textsuperscript{5} Barometric pressure was recorded before each experiment because of the elevation of Fort Collins, Colo (approx 1,513 m above sea level).

**Determination of MAC**—Approximately 1 hour after anesthetic induction (which allowed for instrumentation, a washout period for induction drugs, and equilibration of sevoflurane), determination of baseline sevoflurane MAC was initiated via standard techniques.\textsuperscript{24} End-tidal sevoflurane concentration was maintained constant for at least 20 minutes before each stimulation time to minimize differences in alveolararterial anesthetic partial pressures. Sevoflurane concentration was increased (when a positive response was obtained) or decreased (when a negative response was obtained) by approximately 10% (but not more than 20%) of the previous concentration. A response was considered positive when purposeful movement was detected in response to electrical stimulation\textsuperscript{1} of the horse’s oral mucosa (noxious stimulus of 50 V, 5 Hz, and 10 milliseconds applied over a period of $\leq$ 60 seconds). The stimulus was discontinued when a positive response was observed before 60 seconds had elapsed. The MAC was determined as the mean of the lowest concentration that prevented a positive response and the highest concentration that allowed a positive response. A minimum of 3 determinations was performed for each horse, and the mean value was corrected on the basis of the gas analyzer calibration curves and adjusted to a sea level barometric pressure of 760 mm Hg.

Following determination of the sevoflurane MAC in each horse, lidocaine \textsuperscript{m} was administered IV. A load-
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Sevoflurane MAC corrected to 1 atmosphere at sea level (barometric pressure of 760 mm Hg) in these 8 horses ranged from 2.21% to 2.95% (mean ± SD, 2.42 ± 0.24%). The IV administration of lidocaine caused a significant (P < 0.001) decrease in sevoflurane MAC (range, 1.55% to 2.40%; mean ± SD, 1.78 ± 0.38%), which represented a reduction for sevoflurane MAC that ranged from 6.3% to 44.6% (mean ± SD, 26.7 ± 12%).

Mean ± SD plasma lidocaine concentrations were 2,589 ± 811 ng/mL at the end of the lidocaine bolus; 2,065 ± 441 ng/mL, 2,243 ± 699 ng/mL, 2,168 ± 339 ng/mL, and 2,254 ± 215 ng/mL at 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes of infusion, respectively; and 2,206 ± 329 ng/mL at the end of the infusion. There were no significant differences in the plasma lidocaine concentrations at any time point.

Mean ± SD body temperature at the time of MAC determination for sevoflurane alone was 35.8 ± 0.4°C, whereas mean body temperature was 35.5 ± 0.8°C at the time of MAC determination for lidocaine-sevoflurane. However, these values did not differ significantly.

During the study period, mean ± SD PaCO2 was maintained at 45.7 ± 3.2 mm Hg, mean pH was maintained at 7.43 ± 0.05, and mean PaO2 was never < 300 mm Hg. Mean ± SD heart rate ranged from 37 ± 4 beats/min to 46 ± 5 beats/min during sevoflurane MAC determination and from 35 ± 6 beats/min to 40 ± 5 beats/min during lidocaine-sevoflurane MAC determination, whereas MAP ranged from 64 ± 5 mm Hg to 95 ± 12 mm Hg and from 78 ± 6 mm Hg to 96 ± 11 mm Hg for sevoflurane and lidocaine-sevoflurane, respectively.

Mean ± SD total anesthesia time (determined from the time of induction to the time a horse was disconnected from the anesthesia breathing circuit) was 376 ± 44 minutes. Horses were at 1.2X MAC of sevoflurane for each specific horse at the time of disconnection. All recoveries were considered acceptable. Mean ± SD values were calculated for time to first movement (10.5 ± 4.5 minutes), time to first attempt at sternal recumbency (16.8 ± 2.9 minutes), time to sternal recumbency (23.4 ± 8.7 minutes), number of attempts to achieve sternal recumbency (3.0 ± 3.0), time to first attempt to stand (28.4 ± 7.4 minutes), time to standing (46.1 ± 10.7 minutes), and number of attempts to stand (8.0 ± 11.0).

Recovery quality for all 8 horses was good (mean ± SD, 3.5 ± 1.0; median, 3.3). Only 1 horse (an Arabian gelding) had a fair recovery (score of 2); all other horses had a recovery quality of good or better (score of 3 or higher). The Arabian gelding also required a much higher number of attempts to stand (4+), compared with the number of attempts for the other horses in the study.

**Discussion**

Mean ± SD sevoflurane MAC for the horses in the study reported here was 2.42 ± 0.24%, which is similar to the values in other reports. Administration of a continuous rate infusion of lidocaine provided constant mean plasma lidocaine concentrations and decreased mean sevoflurane MAC to 1.78 ± 0.38% (a mean MAC reduction of 26.7 ± 12%). Similar results were reported in other studies in horses in which plasma lidocaine concentrations < 2 µg/mL promoted a maximum halothane MAC reduction of 20%. Administration of halothane concentrations between 2.1% and 4.23 µg/mL significantly decreased the required isoflurane concentration by 25%. It is possible that higher plasma lidocaine concentrations would provide a greater reduction of sevoflurane MAC because a dose-dependent effect of lidocaine has been observed with use of halothane in horses and dogs and with use of isoflurane in cats. A reduction of halothane MAC up to 70% was described in ponies with plasma lidocaine concentrations ranging from 5 to 7 µg/mL, which are much higher than the plasma concentrations achieved in the present study. The lidocaine dose and rate used in the present study were chosen because the pharmacokinetics had been previously evaluated in sevoflurane-anesthetized horses and found to result in steady-state plasma concentrations after 30 minutes; in addition, this lidocaine dose is commonly used in equine practice.

When evaluating the MAC reduction values for each of the horses in the present study, it is important to mention the variability among horses. The MAC reduction varied from 6.3% to 44.6%. Although plasma lidocaine concentrations and body temperature variations in each horse could have contributed to differences in the MAC reduction, the degree of variation for those variables does not appear to be sufficient to justify the magnitude of the variability observed, which suggested individual variability was the most likely explanation.

Several factors, such as hypothermia, PaCO2 > 90 mm Hg, PaO2 < 40 mm Hg, MAP < 50 mm Hg, and drugs that cause CNS depression, can decrease MAC. Although the use of injectable drugs for sedation (xylazine) and anesthetic induction (ketamine and diazepam) of the horses provided a more clinical perspective and a safer and presumably less stressful anesthetic induction for the horses, it added another factor that could have influenced MAC determination. To minimize any potential influence from the induction drugs, lower doses of these agents were used, which resulted (as expected) in an anesthetic depth inadequate for immediate transport of the horses to the surgery room. As an alternative to administering additional induction drugs, most of the horses were intubated in the induction stall and connected to the anesthetic circuit and then sevoflurane was administered until it was considered safe to transport the horses. Additionally, MAC determination was not initiated until at least 1 hour after anesthetic induction, which allowed time for drug elimination and minimized the influence of the induction agents. Sevoflurane MAC was determined first; thus, by the time of the lidocaine-sevoflurane MAC determination, an even longer period had elapsed from the time of anesthetic induction, which would result
in an even smaller influence of the induction drugs at the time of lidocaine-sevoflurane MAC determination, compared with the influence on sevoflurane MAC determination. Therefore, even though interference of the induction drugs on MAC cannot be fully negated, its effects (if any) would likely have decreased the amount of MAC reduction obtained with the use of lidocaine (ie, it is more likely that we underestimated the difference in sevoflurane MAC without and with lidocaine).

Accurate ventilation, oxygenation, and MAP were successfully maintained; however, body temperature of the horses decreased to approximately 35.5°C despite the use of blankets, forced-air heat, and heat lamps. This decrease in body temperature could have influenced the absolute sevoflurane MAC obtained in this study. Hypothermia can decrease anesthetic requirement in a linear manner such that for each 1°C decrease in body temperature, MAC decreases by approximately 5% to 10%.33,35 Considering the baseline temperature in the awake horses was approximately 37°C, there was a mean decrease in body temperature of 2°C, which would represent an MAC reduction of approximately 10% to 20%. However, the mean MAC value obtained for sevoflurane alone (2.42%) was extremely close to the values reported for horses in other studies2,3,11 (2.31% and 2.84%), which indicates that if there were any influence of temperature on the values obtained, it was of minimal importance. More importantly, body temperature of the horses did not differ significantly between sevoflurane MAC and lidocaine-sevoflurane MAC determinations, which indicated that any influence of body temperature on the degree of MAC reduction obtained with lidocaine in the present study was minimized.

Continuous infusion of lidocaine has been reported to have a negative effect on the recovery quality of horses anesthetized with sevoflurane or isoflurane, and discontinuation of the infusion 30 minutes before the end of anesthesia has been recommended to decrease ataxia during the recovery period.19 In the present study, lidocaine infusion was discontinued approximately 15 minutes before the end of anesthesia but anesthetic recoveries were still considered satisfactory, with a mean ± SD quality score of 3.5 ± 1.0.

Administration of a continuous infusion of lidocaine, at the dose and rates used in the study reported here, decreased mean ± SD sevoflurane MAC by 26.7 ± 12% and could be a useful adjunct to provide a more balanced anesthetic technique in horses because it may allow lower concentrations of sevoflurane to be used. Although the cardiovascular variables monitored during the study remained within reference limits, a detailed cardiovascular study on the effects of IV infusion of lidocaine during sevoflurane-induced anesthesia is required to determine whether the reduction in sevoflurane concentrations observed in the present study will translate into improved cardiovascular performance before this anesthetic combination can be recommended for clinical use.
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