Drug-drug interaction between cannabidiol and phenobarbital in healthy dogs
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OBJECTIVE
To assess drug-drug interactions between cannabidiol (CBD) and phenobarbital (PB) when simultaneously administered to healthy dogs.

ANIMALS
9 healthy, purpose bred Beagles.

PROCEDURES
A 3-phase prospective, randomized pharmacokinetic (PK) interaction study of CBD and PB was performed as follows: phase 1, CBD PK determination and evaluation of CBD tolerability by 3 single-dose CBD (5 mg/kg, 10 mg/kg, and 20 mg/kg) protocols followed by 2-week CBD dosing; phase 2, a single-dose, 3-way, crossover PK study of CBD (10 mg/kg), PB (4 mg/kg), or CBD (10 mg/kg) administration plus PB (4 mg/kg); and phase 3, evaluation of chronic PB (4 mg/kg, q 30 d) administration followed by single-dose CBD (10 mg/kg) PK study.

RESULTS
Although there were variations in CBD PK variables in dogs receiving CBD alone or in conjunction with PB, significance differences in CBD PK variables were not found. No significant difference was observed in PB PK variables of dogs receiving PB alone or with CBD. During chronic CBD administration, mild gastrointestinal signs were observed in 5 dogs. At daily CBD doses of 10 to 20 mg/kg/d, hypoxia was observed in 5 dogs and increased serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activities (range, 301 to 978 U/L) was observed in 4 dogs. A significant increase in ALP activity was observed with chronic administration of CBD during phase 1 between day 0 and day 14.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE
No significant PK interactions were found between CBD and PB. Dose escalation of CBD or adjustment of PB in dogs is not recommended on the basis of findings of this study.

Epilepsy affects 0.5% to 5.7% of the canine population, making it one of the most common neurologic conditions faced in veterinary medicine. Currently, there are only limited numbers of licensed antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) available for use for seizure management in dogs. The American College of Veterinary Internal Medicine consensus statement recommends phenobarbital (PB) and potassium bromide as first-line medications. However, a combination of these medications is unsuccessful in controlling seizures in approximately 20% to 30% of epileptic dogs. The limited availability of safe and highly effective AEDs has led to the search for alternatives.

Cannabis products have gained popularity in recent years as anecdotal evidence of their efficacy as an AED in both animals and humans has been reported. The use of cannabidiol (CBD), a nonpsychotropic phytocannabinoid, has been shown to have both in vitro and in vivo anticonvulsant properties in seizure models, and recently, the FDA approved the use of a 99% pure CBD oral product to treat severe forms of pediatric epilepsy. Although not legally prescribable in veterinary medicine, research has begun in CBD’s potential utility as an AED. A double-blinded, placebo-controlled pilot study in dogs utilizing CBD in addition to standard AEDs reported an overall reduction in seizure activity in the treatment group, compared with the placebo group, although only 2 dogs in the treatment group were considered responders (greater than fifty percent reduction in seizure frequency). The nonpsychotropic nature, potential anticonvulsive properties, and high safety profile have led to increased CBD use and its potential of becoming a new AED in both human and veterinary medicine.

Cannabidiol is metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP450) hepatic enzymes and is known to inhibit
several isoenzymes in humans, including CYP450 2C19, CYP450 2D6, CYP450 2C9, and CYP450 3A4. The CYP450 system is involved in the metabolism of many medications, including most AEDs, such as PB. In humans and dogs, PB is metabolized by the CYP450 system, specifically inducing isoenzymes CYP450 2C9 and CYP450 3A4 in humans and CYP450 2B, CYP450 2C, and CYP450 3A in a variety of species. The CYP450 system is involved in the metabolism of many medications, including most AEDs, such as PB. In humans and dogs, PB is metabolized by the CYP450 system, specifically inducing isoenzymes CYP450 2C9 and CYP450 3A4 in humans and CYP450 2B, CYP450 2C, and CYP450 3A in a variety of species. Pharmacokinetic (PK) interactions between AEDs and CBD have not been previously studied in dogs, but in humans, a recent study reported a significant effect on clobazam, topiramate, rufinamide, eslicarbazepine and zonisamide in adults. In vitro CBD PK studies have shown that CBD co-administered with other medications utilizing the CYP450 pathways, such as rifampin, which, similar to PB, is a CYP3A4 inducer, resulted in reduced peak plasma concentrations of CBD. As such, it is reasonable to speculate that CBD may affect AED PK and vice versa when used in canine patients. Despite lack of FDA approval and that veterinarians cannot legally authorize use, there is increasing CBD use by the general public in their pets. As such, a controlled drug-drug interaction study between CBD and PB is needed. This study aims to assess for potential drug-drug interaction between PB and CBD in healthy Beagles. We hypothesize that CBD, when simultaneously orally administered with PB, will lead to PK alterations in 1 or both drugs.

Materials and Methods

Animals
All aspects of the study were approved by the Colorado State University’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol ID 19-8683A, approved April 24, 2019). Nine healthy, purpose bred, female sexually intact Beagles, between 4 and 5 years of age were enrolled. Dogs weighed a mean of 9.5 kg (range, 8.4 to 11.1 kg). Each dog underwent routine laboratory testing (CBC determination chemistry panel analysis) and physical examination by a veterinarian prior to enrollment. All animals were kept in an on-site research facility and were attended to daily by Laboratory Animal Resource staff or veterinarians for feeding, cleaning, and evaluation of overall appearance. Because 1 dog had to be housed separately because of intergroup aggression, the dogs were separated into 2 groups of 3 dogs, 1 group of 2 dogs, and 1 dog housed alone. Each dog was identified by distinct ear tattoos. Dogs were fed a commercial diet (Hill’s Science Diet Chicken & Barley Adult wet food or Exclusive Adult Dog Chicken & Brown Rice Formula dry food) throughout the study time. All examination findings were recorded throughout the study.

Study design
A 3-phase randomized prospective study was designed (Figure 1).
Phase 1—CBD PK study

Dogs were randomly assigned to 1 of 3 groups of CBD doses. During this phase, each dog received a single dose of highly purified CBD isolate (100% pure) in a medium chain triglyceride (MCT) oil base (CBD in MCT; Canopy Growth Corporation). Cannabidiol purity was verified by analysis (PhytaTech Metric Solutions). Group 1 (n = 3) received a CBD dose of 5 mg/kg, PO; group 2 (n = 3) received a CBD dose of 10 mg/kg, PO; and group 3 (n = 3) received a CBD dose of 20 mg/kg, PO. Doses for the CBD dosing groups was chosen based on results of a prior study.16 Blood was collected from the jugular vein at times 0, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours with physical examinations being performed prior to each blood sample collection by veterinarians or veterinary students.

At time 0 hour, 9 mL of blood was collected from each dog and placed in EDTA tube (2 mL) for a CBC, red top tube (3 mL) for chemistry panel analysis and fasted bile acids test, and 2 sodium heparin tubes (2 mL each) for CBD plasma concentration determination. The tubes were placed on ice until all samples were collected. The sodium heparin tubes were centrifuged at 2,000 X g at 4 °C for 10 minutes. The plasma was separated into cryotubes and stored at -80 °C until processing. Blood samples were processed within 1.5 hours of initial sample collection time. Following time 0 hour for blood collection, all dogs were fed 2 tablespoons of wet dog food (Hill’s Science Diet Chicken & Barley Adult wet food or Exclusive Adult Dog Chicken & Brown Rice Formula dry food) and immediately administered their respective CBD dose. Dosing amount and time were recorded.

At time 2 hours, 7 mL of blood was collected from the jugular of each dog with 3 mL placed in a red top tube for postprandial bile acids testing and 2 mL each placed into 2 sodium heparin tubes for CBD plasma concentration determination. Sodium heparin tubes were processed as already stated.

At times 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours, 4 mL of blood were collected and placed into 2 sodium heparin tubes (2 mL each) and processed for CBD plasma concentration determination.

All dogs continued to receive a twice daily oral dose of CBD as determined by their previously assigned groups (ie, group 1, 5 mg/kg, q 12 h; group 2, 10 mg/kg, q 12 h; and group 3, 20 mg/kg, q 12 h) for a total of 14 days during which time daily physical examinations were performed and adverse events (AEs) were recorded. Complete blood count determination, chemistry panel analysis, bile acids test (preprandial and 2-hours postprandial), and CBD concentration determination were performed on days 7 and 14.

To compare nonfasted and fasted CBD PK findings, following a 2-week washout period, the dogs received another single dose of the same pure CBD isolate PO (based on their previously determined group) after being fasted for at least 12 hours. Blood was collected at time 0, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours and processed as already described.

Phase 2—Single-dose CBD and PB interaction

All dogs underwent a 2-week washout period prior to the initiation of phase 2, a single-dose, 3-way, crossover study. Dogs were randomly assigned into 1 of 3 oral treatment groups as follows: 1) CBD-infused MCT oil (10 mg/kg); 2) PB tablets (Phenobarbital tablets; Winder Labs; 4 mg/kg); or 3) CBD-PB (10 mg/kg and 4 mg/kg, respectively). Blood was collected at time 0, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours as previously described for CBC determination and chemistry panel analysis at time 0, and CBD and/or PB concentration determination at all time points. Blood for determination PB concentrations (2 mL) was placed in red top tubes and centrifuged at 2,500 X g for 10 minutes. The serum was separated into a red top tube and refrigerated until processed (within 1.5 hours of sample collection time). The plasma for CBD analysis was stored at -80 °C. Each dog underwent all 3 drug administration protocols with a 2-week washout period between each drug exposure.

Phase 3—Chronic PB administration

After a 2-week washout period, all dogs received twice daily PB administration (4 mg/kg, PO) for a total of 4 weeks. Dogs were evaluated daily. At the end of 4 weeks, all dogs were administered a single oral dose of CBD (10 mg/kg) with the 4 mg/kg dose of PB and blood was collected at times 0, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24 hours for CBC determination, chemistry panel analysis, and measurements of PB concentrations and CBD concentrations as previously described. Adverse events were recorded throughout the study period.

Laboratory analysis of blood samples

Phenobarbital concentrations were analyzed through the Colorado State University Center for Companion Animal Studies via chemiluminescent immunoassay (Immulite 1000; Siemens Medical Systems USA). Cannabidiol was measured in canine plasma with a validated liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry–mass spectrometry assay (Instrumentation included an Applied Biosystems 3200 Q-TRAP triple quadrupole mass spectrometer coupled to a Shimadzu LC20AD and HTC-PAL autosampler) performed in the Pharmacology Laboratory of the Drug Development & Discovery Shared Resource (University of Colorado Cancer Center).2 Additional information regarding the assay methods are available (Supplementary Appendix S1). Complete blood count, chemistry panel analysis, and bile acids tests were performed by the Colorado State University Veterinary Clinical Pathology Laboratory.

Statistical analysis

Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated by noncompartmental analysis with computer software (Excel version 2005; Microsoft Corp).17 Parameters analyzed included maximal concentration (Cmax), time to Cmax (Tmax), λ, area under the curve (AUC), half-life, and apparent clearance (Cl/F) for CBD dur-
ing all phases, as well as for PB during phases 2 and 3. Half-life was calculated for the 8, 12, and 24 hour time points where a linear decline in levels was observed across this span when log[CBD] was plotted versus time. For the animals in which there was no linear decline over this period, λ and resulting half-life were not calculated.

To compare AUC and Cmax between fasted and nonfasted states during phase 1, the nonparametric Wilcoxon signed rank test was used, because data were not normally distributed when combined across the dose groups. The Spearman rank order correlation test was used to determine whether there was a correlation between CBD dose and AUC or Cmax in phase 1. Paired t test of AUC values between CBD and CBD-PB as well as CBD-chronic PB groups were performed. The Dunnett multiple comparisons test was performed between groups with α set at 0.05. The ROUT method, a method based on the false discovery rate (FDR), was performed to determine outliers in AUC values with a Q (maximum desired FDR) set to 0.2%. A paired t test was also performed on AUC of PB single dose administration and PB single dose with coadministration of CBD.

Analysis of serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity change during phase 1 was performed with computer software (R Software R 3.6.2; R Core Team 2020). A mixed model was fit with log[ALP] as the response. Log transformation was used to satisfy model assumptions. Fixed effects included time (0, 7 or 14 days) and dose (5, 10, or 20 mg/kg) and time*dose interaction. The Dunnett method was used to compare downstream time points versus baseline for each dose. The Tukey method was used to compare between doses at each time point. For all comparisons, a value of P < 0.05 was considered significant.

### Sample size calculation

As the variability in PB clearance is approximately 30% in dogs, a sample population of 8 dogs would allow for detection of a 40% change in exposure based on a power of 76% with 1-way ANOVA pairwise, 2-sided equality test. Therefore, to achieve a power of greater than 80%, 9 dogs were needed.

### Adverse Events

Adverse events were monitored and graded based on the 2011 consensus document from the Veterinary Cooperative Oncology Group. Grade 1 AEs consist of mild clinical signs not requiring intervention; grade 2 AEs consist of moderate signs requiring noninvasive intervention that only moderately limits daily activities; grade 3 AEs consist of severe medically important clinical signs that are not life threatening; grade 4 AEs consist of life threatening signs that require urgent intervention; and grade 5 AEs consist of death related to the AE.

### Results

#### CBD PK results

Of the 9 dogs enrolled in the study, all dogs successfully completed the study. Cannabidiol data from 1 dog were excluded from phase 3 (CBD-chronic PB) following ROUT method to determine outliers, leaving data from 8 of 9 dogs to be analyzed for the effects of chronic PB administration on CBD PK variables. Plasma CBD concentrations were determined at 5 time points for each phase, as well as for PB during phases 2 and 3. Half-life was calculated for the 8, 12, and 24 hour time points where a linear decline in levels was observed across this span when log[CBD] was plotted versus time. For the animals in which there was no linear decline over this period, λ and resulting half-life were not calculated.

To compare AUC and Cmax between fasted and nonfasted states during phase 1, the nonparametric Wilcoxon signed rank test was used, because data were not normally distributed when combined across the dose groups. The Spearman rank order correlation test was used to determine whether there was a correlation between CBD dose and AUC or Cmax in phase 1. Paired t test of AUC values between CBD and CBD-PB as well as CBD-chronic PB groups were performed. The Dunnett multiple comparisons test was performed between groups with α set at 0.05. The ROUT method, a method based on the false discovery rate (FDR), was performed to determine outliers in AUC values with a Q (maximum desired FDR) set to 0.2%. A paired t test was also performed on AUC of PB single dose administration and PB single dose with coadministration of CBD.

Analysis of serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity change during phase 1 was performed with computer software (R Software R 3.6.2; R Core Team 2020). A mixed model was fit with log[ALP] as the response. Log transformation was used to satisfy model assumptions. Fixed effects included time (0, 7 or 14 days) and dose (5, 10, or 20 mg/kg) and time*dose interaction. The Dunnett method was used to compare downstream time points versus baseline for each dose. The Tukey method was used to compare between doses at each time point. For all comparisons, a value of P < 0.05 was considered significant.

### Table 1—Phase 1 mean area under the curve (AUC0-t), maximal concentration (Cmax), half-life, and clearance (Cl/F) for 3 cannabidiol (CBD) dosing groups in healthy dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBD Dose</th>
<th>AUC0-t mean ± SD (ng/mL•hr)</th>
<th>Cmax mean ± SD (ng/h)</th>
<th>Half-life (hr)</th>
<th>Cl/F mean ± SD (ng/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 mg/kg</td>
<td>Fasted 1130.1 ± 712.1</td>
<td>143.0 ± 112.1</td>
<td>13.4 ± 4.4</td>
<td>7.1 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonfasted 1977.1 ± 1389.4</td>
<td>581.0 ± 400.9</td>
<td>19.3 ± 7.7</td>
<td>5.2 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mg/kg</td>
<td>Fasted 1370.5 ± 671.4</td>
<td>231.2 ± 222.6</td>
<td>6.5 ± 2.2</td>
<td>8.3 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonfasted 3215.9 ± 1196.0</td>
<td>579.0 ± 150.0</td>
<td>7.5 ± 3.5</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 mg/kg</td>
<td>Fasted 1289.0 ± 638.1</td>
<td>155.4 ± 78</td>
<td>8.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td>19.3 ± 11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonfasted 4247.8 ± 6203.8</td>
<td>288.5 ± 359.6</td>
<td>11.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>21.4 ± 18.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean AUC0-t, Cmax, half-life, and Cl/F for the 3 CBD single oral dosing groups in both a fasted and nonfasted state. N = 3 for each group.

### Figure 2

A—Concentration versus time curve of mean plasma CBD (ng/mL) after fasted single oral dosing of 5 mg/kg (open circle, n = 3), 10 mg/kg (open square, n = 3), and 20 mg/kg (open triangle, n = 3). B—Concentration versus time curve of mean plasma CBD (ng/mL) after nonfasted single oral dosing of 5 mg/kg (open circle, n = 3), 10 mg/kg (open square, n = 3), and 20 mg/kg (open triangle, n = 3). C—Mean area under the curve (AUC0-t; bar) for 3 single-dose CBD in both nonfasted and fasted state with n = 3 in each group. Individual AUC for each group represented by dots.
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Mean ± SD, median, 95% CI, and range for AUC<sub>0-t</sub>, C<sub>max</sub>, half-life, and Cl/F for all 3 dosing groups (5 mg/kg, 10 mg/kg, and 20 mg/kg) of phase 1 (both nonfasted and fasted) are available (Table 1; Figure 2). There was no significant difference in the C<sub>max</sub> or the AUC<sub>0-t</sub> between dose, nor any overall correlation with dose, in either the fasted or nonfasted state (P > 0.1 in all cases). The AUC<sub>0-t</sub> was not significantly different between fasted and nonfasted animals (P = 0.14). However, the C<sub>max</sub> was significantly higher in the nonfasted animals, compared with the fasted animals (P = 0.03). The number of animals at each dose was too small to assess for a separate dose effect.

An AUC data point from 1 dog for the CBD-chronic PB group was found to be an outlier after the ROUT method was performed. This data point was excluded from PK analysis for phases 2 and 3. Mean ± SD, median, 95% CI, and range for AUC<sub>0-t</sub>, C<sub>max</sub>, half-life, and Cl/F for all 3 states of CBD administration (Table 2). There was no significant difference found between mean AUC<sub>0-t</sub> of CBD administration alone, compared with that from CBD-PB or with CBD-chronic PB (P = 0.63 and 0.20, respectively). In evaluating the concentration over time curves in all 3 groups, a second peak was visible in the CBD and CBD-PB groups, however, a second peak for the CBD-chronic PB group was not observed (Figure 4).

### Table 2—Phases 2 and 3 mean, median, 95% CI, minimum and maximum for AUC<sub>0-t</sub>, C<sub>max</sub>, half-life, and Cl/F for 3 states of CBD administration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PK Parameters</th>
<th>CBD</th>
<th>CBD / PB</th>
<th>CBD / Chronic PB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (ng/mL)</td>
<td>165.1 ± 86.2</td>
<td>154.0 ± 109.0</td>
<td>292.5 ± 472.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life (hr)</td>
<td>9.4 ± 5.8</td>
<td>6.3 ± 3.2</td>
<td>8.1 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1024.4 ± 623.0</td>
<td>1272.7 ± 945.7</td>
<td>798.8 ± 428.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl/F (L/h/kg)</td>
<td>9.9 ± 6.4</td>
<td>10.5 ± 7.8</td>
<td>13.0 ± 9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pharmacokinetic parameters for AUC<sub>0-t</sub>, C<sub>max</sub>, estimated elimination half-life, and Cl/F for 10 mg/kg CBD alone, in conjunction with 4 mg/kg phenobarbital (CBD-PB), and after 30 days chronic phenobarbital administration at 4 mg/kg twice daily (CBD-chronic PB). N = 9 for all except CBD-chronic PB in which data from 1 dog (dog 7) was excluded as an outlier via ROUT method.
Before-after dot and bar graph of plasma CBD AUC\textsubscript{0-t} for all 9 dogs in the 10 mg/kg dosing group and the remaining 2 were in the 20 mg/kg dosing group. There was a significant (\( P < 0.001 \)) increase in ALP activities for all doses (5 mg/kg, 10 mg/kg, 20 mg/kg) and time points, except 5 mg/kg at day 7 (Table 3). There was no difference, however, between the dosing groups at any time point (Supplementary Table S4).

**Discussion**

To the authors’ knowledge, we describe the first drug-drug interaction study between CBD and PB in healthy dogs. This 3-phased study assessed PK of 3 single oral doses of CBD administration in both a nonfasted and fasted state, single oral dose CBD (10 mg/kg) with single oral dose PB (4 mg/kg), and single oral dose CBD (10 mg/kg) following chronic (30 day) oral PB administration (4 mg/kg, q 12 h). Adverse events, CBC, chemistry panel analysis results, and bile acids concentrations were monitored throughout the study.

The first phase of this study evaluated the PK of 3 single CBD doses in healthy dogs during both a nonfasted and fasted state, followed by evaluation of AEs during a 2-week dosing period. There was considerable interindividual variability in the PK data in the fasted and nonfasted single-dose CBD groups of phase 1. The variability is consistent with results of previous PK studies of CBD in people and rats, which showed similar interindividual variability.\textsuperscript{23,24} A meta-analysis of CBD PK studies in humans, found variability in PK with dosage form and route, formulation, as well as with or without food. It was shown that CBD administered with food increased AUC and \( C_{\text{max}} \) as with or without food. It was speculated that the presence of food would increase bile release, slowing gastric emptying, and allowing increased time for drug dissolution and absorption.\textsuperscript{25} Our study found a significant increase in \( C_{\text{max}} \) in the nonfasted state of phase 1, compared with the fasted animals, but no significant elevation of the AUC\textsubscript{\text{0-t}}. We speculate that our variability in CBD PK variables was in part related to differences in individual absorption,
CBD is metabolized by CYP450 hepatic enzymes; it in
duces a range of CYP450 enzymes that may also be
responsible for CBD biotransformation, although spe
cific information in the dog as to which CYP450 en
zymes participate in biotransformation of CBD is not
available. Chronic PB use has been shown to not only
progressively decrease its own elimination half-life,
but has also been shown to enhance the clearance of
other AEDs that undergo hepatic metabolism through
CYP450 enzymes. For these reasons, we hypothesized
that PB would also interact pharmacokinetically with CBD by increasing its biotransformation. This would result in a reduced AUC$_{0-t}$ and perhaps also affect C$_{max}$ if there is a large first-pass effect. However, no significant difference in the PK of CBD administered alone when compared with either single-dose coadministration of PB or with chronic PB administration was observed. It is possible that this was related to the power of the study; however, there was not a con
sistent change with either the chronic or single-dose
PB administration. Therefore, it appears that even if
an effect was missed because of sample size, the magni
tude of the effect would likely be inconsequential.

When evaluating the concentration versus time
curves of the 3 CBD groups in phase 2 and 3 of our
study (CBD, CBD-PB, and CBD-chronic PB), there was a lack of a second CBD concentration peak for
the CBD-chronic PB group. In humans and rodents,
CBD is metabolized by CYP450 hepatic enzymes; it is
hydroxylated to 7-hydroxy-cannabidiol (7-OH-CBD)
primarily by CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 in humans. The
7-OH-CBD undergoes further hepatic metabolism,
and the metabolites are eventually excreted. The
CBD metabolite 7-hydroxy has also been identified
when CBD was incubated with hepatic microsomes
from other species (mouse, rat, guinea pig, rabbit,
hamster, gerbil and cat). It is reasonable to assume
that CYP450 biotransformation of CBD also occurs
in dogs. In our CBD and CBD-PB groups, a second
peak was observed in the C vs T curve. However, this
second peak was not observed in the CBD-chronic
PB group. If chronic PB administration had induced
CYP450 and so increased a hepatic first-pass effect,
we might have expected to see a decreased C$_{max}$ and
a larger second peak. Instead, no second peak was
apparent and there was no change in C$_{max}$. In addi
tion, a second peak was not clearly observed in phase
1 at the 3 doses. It may be that the apparent second
peak reflects instead a delayed absorption or may be
related to the variability found in our data.

In human studies, CBD has significantly affected se
rum concentration of several AEDs including clobazam,
topiramate, zonisamide (in adults), eslicarbazepine,
and rufinamide because of CBD’s inhibition of CYP450 en
zymes. No effect was observed on serum concentrations of PB nor levetiracetam. However, the studies
included a small sample size of individuals receiving PB
and were not controlled. Phenytoin is frequently
used as a first-line AED in veterinary medicine and,
therefore, warrants further investigation. Our study
found no significant difference in the systemic exposure (AUC$_{0-t}$) of single-dose PB compared with single
dose PB coadministered with CBD. The effect of chron
ic coadministration of CBD and PB was not evaluated in
this study, but in a pilot study involving client-owned id
iopathic epileptic dogs, no significant change in serum
PB concentration was found in the 7 dogs receiving PB
after 12 weeks of CBD treatment. Further studies with
a larger sample size are warranted to evaluate for the
potential effect of chronic coadministration of CBD and
PB on PB concentrations.

Adverse events were recorded during all phases,
but only observed during phase 1. These AEs were
compared with those seen in the dogs prior to the be
ginning of the study. During the 6-month acclimation
period (time before study’s initiation), 5 dogs ex
perience AEs including diarrhea (n = 2), convulsivities
(n = 1), minor paw injury (n = 1), and hair loss on
dorsum (n = 1). All were minor and self-limiting in
nature. During phase 1 of the study, all AEs observed
were mild in nature and different from what was
observed prior to the study period. During phase 1,
AEs were related to gastrointestinal signs (vomiting,
hyporexia, and anorexia) and an increase in serum
ALP activity. Adverse events were not unexpected as
adverse effects of CBD administration are common.
In humans, CBD use has been associated with mild to
moderate adverse effects including somnolence, poor
appetite, and diarrhea, with upwards of 44% of hu
man patients experiencing adverse effects with CBD
administration.

In our study, 5 of 9 dogs experi
enced gastrointestinal signs for a variable duration of
time with the most commonly observed sign relating
to inappetence. These gastrointestinal signs may be
related to the delivery method (oil base). The choice
of CBD administration in an oil base was made based

---

**Table 3**—Pairwise comparison of serum alkaline phospha
tase activities for time at each CBD dose in healthy dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast between days</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>t ratio</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 and 0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 and 0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 and 0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 and 0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 and 0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 and 0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.92</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Pairwise comparison of mean alkaline phosphatase activities (U/L) from Phase 1 between days 0 and 7 and days 0 and 14 for each of 3 CBD dosing groups (5 mg/kg, 10 mg/kg, and 20 mg/kg). No significant difference in the PK of CBD administered alone when compared with either single-dose coadministration of PB or with chronic PB administration. Therefore, it appears that even if there is a large first-pass effect, we might have expected to see a decreased C$_{max}$ and a larger second peak. Instead, no second peak was apparent and there was no change in C$_{max}$. In addition, a second peak was not clearly observed in phase 1 at the 3 doses. It may be that the apparent second peak reflects instead a delayed absorption or may be related to the variability found in our data.

In human studies, CBD has significantly affected se
rum concentration of several AEDs including clobazam,
topiramate, zonisamide (in adults), eslicarbazepine,
and rufinamide because of CBD’s inhibition of CYP450 en
zymes. No effect was observed on serum concentrations of PB nor levetiracetam. However, the studies
included a small sample size of individuals receiving PB
and were not controlled. Phenytoin is frequently
used as a first-line AED in veterinary medicine and,
therefore, warrants further investigation. Our study
found no significant difference in the systemic exposure (AUC$_{0-t}$) of single-dose PB compared with single
dose PB coadministered with CBD. The effect of chron
ic coadministration of CBD and PB was not evaluated in
this study, but in a pilot study involving client-owned id
iopathic epileptic dogs, no significant change in serum
PB concentration was found in the 7 dogs receiving PB
after 12 weeks of CBD treatment. Further studies with
a larger sample size are warranted to evaluate for the
potential effect of chronic coadministration of CBD and
PB on PB concentrations.

Adverse events were recorded during all phases,
but only observed during phase 1. These AEs were
compared with those seen in the dogs prior to the be
ginning of the study. During the 6-month acclimation
period (time before study’s initiation), 5 dogs ex
perience AEs including diarrhea (n = 2), convulsivities
(n = 1), minor paw injury (n = 1), and hair loss on
dorsum (n = 1). All were minor and self-limiting in
nature. During phase 1 of the study, all AEs observed
were mild in nature and different from what was
observed prior to the study period. During phase 1,
AEs were related to gastrointestinal signs (vomiting,
hyporexia, and anorexia) and an increase in serum
ALP activity. Adverse events were not unexpected as
adverse effects of CBD administration are common.
In humans, CBD use has been associated with mild to
moderate adverse effects including somnolence, poor
appetite, and diarrhea, with upwards of 44% of hu
man patients experiencing adverse effects with CBD
administration. In our study, 5 of 9 dogs experi
enced gastrointestinal signs for a variable duration of
time with the most commonly observed sign relating
to inappetence. These gastrointestinal signs may be
related to the delivery method (oil base). The choice
of CBD administration in an oil base was made based

---

**Table 3**—Pairwise comparison of serum alkaline phospha
tase activities for time at each CBD dose in healthy dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast between days</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>t ratio</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 and 0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 and 0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 and 0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 and 0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 and 0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 and 0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.92</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Pairwise comparison of mean alkaline phosphatase activities (U/L) from Phase 1 between days 0 and 7 and days 0 and 14 for each of 3 CBD dosing groups (5 mg/kg, 10 mg/kg, and 20 mg/kg). Significant P values are bolded and existed between all time points except days 0 and 7 in the 5 mg/kg CBD group.
on previous PK studies involving variable routes of administration in healthy Beagles in which CBD-infused oil had greater $C_{\text{max}}$ than other delivery mechanisms. A placebo-controlled, cannabinoid dose escalation study involving healthy Beagles reported mild gastrointestinal AEs or lethargy accounting for 94.9% of all adverse effects recorded. Gastrointestinal AEs accounted for 65.3% and 66.3% of the adverse events recorded in the MCT oil placebo group and CBD oil group, respectively. Cannabidiol dosing in this study was found well tolerated up to approximately 62 mg/kg. The adverse gastrointestinal events in our study utilizing CBD in MCT oil is consistent these previously published findings. The authors speculate that the nature of this oil base may contribute to the gastrointestinal signs seen with administration.

We administered 10 mg/kg CBD for phase 2 and phase 3 despite mild adverse effects noted during phase 1 in 2 of 3 dogs in the 10 mg/kg dosing group. Dosing of 10 mg/kg was elected based on the overall tolerability seen in dose escalation studies involving CBD in dogs where dosing approached approximately 62 mg/kg as well as based on prior PK studies of CBD in dogs.

All dogs in our study had significant increase in serum ALP activity from baseline (day 0) at day 14 in all dosing groups ($P < 0.001$ for all groups). Five of 9 dogs (55%) had increases above the upper limit of the reference range for ALP (140 U/L) at day 14 (range, 145 to 978 U/L). Four of 5 had values greater than twice the reference limit (mean, ALP 506 U/L; range, 301 to 978 U/L) and were seen only in the 10 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg dosing groups. The most significant increase in ALP activity was observed in a dog in the 20 mg/kg dosing group, that had mild increase in ALP activity at baseline (ALP 143 U/L at day 0) and 6.8 times increase by day 14 (ALP, 978 U/L). All other chemistry values and pre- and postprandial bile acid concentrations remained within reference range for this dog during the duration of the study and no other clinical signs were associated with this marked increase in ALP activity. There was no significant difference found in this study between dosing groups at any time point, likely due to the small sample size. It is possible that with a larger sample size, a significant difference would be seen with higher CBD dosing. No dog in this study had significant changes in any other CBC or chemistry value, including the liver enzyme activities of alanine transaminase and $\gamma$-glutamyl transferase. Significant increases in ALP activity have been reported in other CBD studies with chronic administration in dogs and has been reported with human use. The increase in ALP activity is likely due to the induction and inhibition of various CYP450 isoenzymes in the liver because of metabolism of CBD as is seen in humans. In our study, all dogs in all dosing groups had increases in ALP activity over time (between day 0 and 14). The duration of CBD administration in this study was only 14 days; it is reasonable conjecture that with continued chronic administration, ALP activities in all dosing groups would continue to increase. It is unknown if this increase would reach clinical significance in the lower dosing group (5 mg/kg). To evaluate clinical importance of this increase in ALP activity on liver function, pre- and postprandial bile acids were measured for all dogs at days 0, 7, and 14 of CBD administration. Pre- and postprandial bile acid concentrations remained within reference range for all dogs at all time points supporting no functional liver changes within the 14 days of administration. It is unknown if any functional changes may have occurred with a longer chronic dosing window. In light of this, the authors recommend monitoring of liver values and function with chronic CBD use, especially with concurrent drug administration, especially drugs metabolized by the liver.

The biggest limitation of this study is the small sample size in all phases and the fact that sequence randomization was not possible in all phases. In phase 1, repeating the 3 dosage regimens in all 9 dogs would have given us greater confidence in the lack of increasing drug exposure with increased. Although a significant interaction was not observed in the PKs between CBD and PB in this report, with a larger study significance differences may have been apparent. Additionally, this study only included sexually intact female dogs so possible comparison between sex or reproductive status was not possible. A second limitation was in the duration of our dosing. In phase 1, CBD was dosed for 14 days to assess for adverse events. In a clinical setting where CBD may be used as an adjunctive AED, the use has the potential to be lifelong for the patient. Future studies where CBD is dosed daily for a longer period (several months at minimum) are needed to better assess for potential long-term adverse effects. Another limitation is the lack of control group receiving MCT oil alone; it is possible the AEs observed during phase 1 of the study may have been attributable to the oil base of the CBD. A final limitation of this study is that all dogs were young, healthy Beagles without co-morbidities. Although many epileptic dogs have idiopathic epilepsy, our findings of an increase in ALP activity and gastrointestinal signs may be more pronounced in patients receiving concurrent medications. These dogs may metabolize medications differently with chronic use than healthy dogs. Future large-scale studies in epileptic dogs are warranted, preferably as prospective, double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trials.

The first part of our study found a lack of a significant dose effect of CBD administration on $C_{\text{max}}$ or AUC$_{0-\text{t}}$. Although we did observe an increase in the $C_{\text{max}}$ in nonfasted dogs, compared with fasted dogs, the AUC$_{0-\text{t}}$ was not affected. This may be re-
lated to the fact that the CBD is administered in oil, however, it suggests that although feeding may have increased the rate of absorption, it did not increase total bioavailability. The number of dogs at each dose was limited, so these studies lack power. A larger study is indicated because if increasing dose does not increase total drug concentrations or exposure, then increasing dose may not be a useful strategy to increase efficacy. Similarly, the limited effect of feeding suggests that we do not need to be concerned with administration of CBD relative to meals. Our sample sizes are limited and interindividual variability was present, so the authors recommend the interpretation of these results with caution.

Based on the lack of significant PK interaction in this study, adjustment of the CBD dose escalation with chronic coadministration of PB does not appear indicated. Similarly, based on the single-dose administration with CBD, adjusting the dose of PB does not appear indicated. These results are consistent with our previous study where no change in serum PB concentrations were observed with the addition of CBD. Further research, however, in a larger population to assess the PK interaction of chronic concurrent AED use and CBD may reveal PK interactions.
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