Diagnostic value of the ultrasonographic description of a splenic mass or nodule as cavitated in 106 dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen
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OBJECTIVE
To assess the diagnostic value of the ultrasonographic description of a splenic mass or nodule as cavitated in dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen.

ANIMALS
106 dogs with a nontraumatic hemoabdomen that underwent abdominal ultrasonography and splenectomy with histologic examination of splenic lesions between 2005 and 2018.

PROCEDURES
Medical records were reviewed for abdominal ultrasonographic and histologic findings. Diagnostic performance of ultrasonographic description of a splenic mass or nodule as cavitated as evidence of hemangiosarcoma or any malignancy was evaluated.

RESULTS
Ultrasonographic description of splenic lesions as cavitated had poor diagnostic utility in predicting presence of hemangiosarcoma or malignancy. Sensitivity and specificity of this test were 41.9% (95% CI, 30.5% to 54.3%) and 51.2% (95% CI, 36.8% to 65.4%), respectively, for detecting hemangiosarcoma, with positive and negative predictive values of 55.3% (95% CI, 41.2% to 68.6%) and 37.9% (95% CI, 26.6% to 50.8%), respectively. Results were similar for detecting malignancy. Cavitated lesions outside of the spleen were too rare for statistical analysis to be of value.

CONCLUSIONS AND CLINICAL RELEVANCE
Results suggested that relying on ultrasonographic description of cavitation to diagnose splenic lesions as malignant in dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen is unfounded. Other preoperative diagnostic tests may be more valuable in determining short- and long-term prognoses.

Testing, and coagulation testing. Efforts have been made to help distinguish malignant from nonmalignant masses and nodules prior to surgery. Recently, the hemangiosarcoma likelihood predictor score has been described as a means to predict the likelihood of hemangiosarcoma versus hematoma. This score is calculated on the basis of body weight, total solids concentration, platelet count, and radiographic lung pattern to assign a low, medium, or high risk of hemangiosarcoma. A higher mass-to-splenic volume ratio and higher splenic weight as a percentage of body weight are also suggestive of benign splenic disease. Efforts have also been made to distinguish malignant from nonmalignant lesions through the use of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography, CT, and MRI, but these methods are insufficiently accurate, too expensive, or too time-consuming to be used in an emergency situation for dogs with hemoabdomen. The gold standard test is histologic examination of a tissue sample, which can only be obtained surgically in dogs with emergent hemoabdomen. Diagnostic abdominal ultrasonography, which can help with surgical planning by providing confirmation of a mass or nodule, is often the most advanced diagnostic imaging pursued prior to the decision of whether to perform surgery is made.
Ultrasonographic identification of a cavitated splenic mass or nodule has anecdotally been associated with malignancy in dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen; however, rigorous evidence-based findings to support this association have not been published. Ultrasonography of the spleen has been recommended for disease localization, to differentiate cavitory from solid lesions, and to assist in aspiration of lesions, and even point-of-care ultrasonography guidelines suggest looking for cavitations. Splenic hemangiosarcoma has been described to generally appear as a complex mass lesion with mixed echogenicity and cavitations, but a definitive diagnosis can only be made through histologic examination. Terms such as cavitary, cavitated, and the like have commonly been used to describe the ultrasonographic appearance of abdominal lesions. Other ultrasonographic patterns such as honeycomb patterns and target lesions have been assessed for their association with malignant hepatic and splenic lesions in dogs and cats and have been shown to have some degree of diagnostic utility.

Although terms such as cavitated are commonly used to describe the ultrasonographic appearance of splenic lesions, the diagnostic performance of this description in the identification of hemangiosarcoma or other malignancies in dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen has not been evaluated. The percentage of dogs with ultrasonographic evidence of rupture of a splenic mass that are euthanized without further treatment has not been established but is likely high because of the potential that these masses are malignant. In 1 study, about 80% of dogs with hemoabdomen of all causes died, were euthanized, or did not require surgery. Evaluating the relationship between ultrasonographic identification of a cavitated splenic mass and malignancy would help clinicians and owners make better-informed decisions or could help reduce inaccurate clinical prognoses. The objective of the study reported here was to assess the diagnostic value of the ultrasonographic description of a splenic mass or nodule as cavitated in dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen. On the basis of our clinical experience, we hypothesized that ultrasonographic identification of a cavitated splenic mass or nodule in dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen would not accurately predict a diagnosis of hemangiosarcoma or other malignancy.

Materials and Methods

Animals

The electronic medical record system of the Colorado State University Veterinary Teaching Hospital was searched to identify all dogs charged for a splenectomy performed between January 2005 and December 2018. Dogs were eligible for inclusion in the study if they had undergone abdominal ultrasonography performed by a diplomate of the American College of Veterinary Radiology, had a splenic mass or nodule, and had evidence of blood in the peritoneal cavity < 2 weeks prior to surgery. Dogs were excluded if a splenic mass or nodule and hemoabdomen were not documented; if concurrent gastric-dilatation volvulus, septic or bile peritonitis, splenic torsion or abscess, or trauma was documented; the medical record was incomplete; or results of histologic examination of splenic tissue were not available.

Data collection

For dogs included in the study, information obtained from the electronic medical record consisted of signalment (ie, sex, neuter status, and age) and results of abdominal ultrasonography, including whether the splenic mass or nodule was described as cavitated and whether lesions were identified in the liver, mesentery, peritoneal space, or elsewhere in the abdomen. In instances when ultrasonographic images were available for review, a diplomate of the American College of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care reviewed all available images for any clear discrepancies in mass description. At the time of the study, the routine procedure for dogs undergoing splenectomy at our institution was to submit the entire spleen for histologic examination, which was performed by diplomates of the American College of Veterinary Pathology. For the present study, results of histologic examination of splenic tissue were classified as hemangiosarcoma, nonhemangiosarcoma malignant, nonmalignant, or normal.

Statistical analysis

Distributions of age and weight were tested for normalcy with the Anderson-Darling test. Continuous data that were normally distributed were summarized as mean ± SD; continuous data that were not normally distributed were summarized as median and range. Results of histologic examination of splenic tissue were considered the gold standard for diagnostic status. Lesions identified by means of abdominal ultrasonography and for which results of histologic examination were available were classified as splenic, hepatic, or mesenteric and other. The diagnostic test evaluated in the present study was whether a mass or nodule detected during abdominal ultrasonography was described as cavitated or not. The test result was considered positive if the mass or nodule was described as cavitated and negative if the mass or nodule was not described as cavitated. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV), along with their 95% CIs, were then calculated both for detecting hemangiosarcoma and for detecting any malignancy, including hemangiosarcoma. Sensitivity was calculated as the percentage of dogs that had a positive test result among dogs with hemangiosarcoma or among dogs with a malignant mass or nodule detected by abdominal ultrasonography. Specificity was calculated as the percentage of dogs that had a negative test result among dogs that did not have hemangiosarcoma or
among dogs that had a nonmalignant mass or nodule detected by abdominal ultrasonography. The PPV was calculated as the percentage of dogs with hemangiosarcoma or any malignancy among all dogs with positive test results. The NPV was calculated as the percentage of dogs that did not have hemangiosarcoma or any malignancy among all dogs with negative test results. Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV were calculated separately for the splenic, hepatic, and mesenteric or other lesions when sufficient numbers of cases were present. Notably, not every lesion detected by means of abdominal ultrasonography was sampled, and some lesions were identified during surgery without first being identified by means of preoperative abdominal ultrasonography. All statistical analyses were performed with standard software (JMP version 15.0.0; SAS Institute Inc) with the performance measures add-in.

Results
A total of 701 dogs underwent splenectomy during the study period; however, 595 dogs were excluded because of coexisting medical conditions, a lack of histologic examination of splenic tissues, a lack of abdominal ultrasonography, or incomplete medical records. The remaining 106 dogs were included in the study.

Of the 106 dogs included in the study, 54 (50.9%) were male and 52 (49.1%) were female. Only 8 (7.5%) of the 106 dogs were sexually intact. Median age at the time of splenectomy was 11.1 years (range, 2.7 to 15.4 years), and mean ± SD weight was 28.5 ± 11.4 kg. Sixty-two (58.5%) of the 106 dogs had hemangiosarcoma, and 74 (69.8%) had a malignancy (including hemangiosarcoma).

A total of 147 masses or nodules (105 splenic, 27 hepatic, and 15 mesenteric or other) were detected by abdominal ultrasonography, of which 51 (34.7%) were described as cavitated (Figures 1–3); 207 masses or nodules were identified during surgery (106 splenic, 58 hepatic, and 43 mesenteric or other). Two hundred thirty samples were submitted for histologic examination. Sixty-two (58.5%) of the 106 splenic tissue samples were hemangiosarcoma, and 73 (68.9%) were classified as a malignancy (including hemangiosarcoma). Twenty-nine (23.4%) of the 124 nonsplenic tissue samples were identified histologically as hemangiosarcoma, and 39 (31.5%) were identified as a malignancy.

A total of 105 dogs were found to have a splenic mass or nodule on abdominal ultrasonography, of which 47 (44.8%) had cavitated lesions, and 62 (58.5%) of the 105 dogs were determined to have hemangiosarcoma on the basis of histologic examination of splenic tissues. Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of ultrasonographic detection of a cavitated splenic mass or nodule as a test for hemangiosarcoma or as a test for any malignancy were generally low. As a test for hemangiosarcoma, sensitivity of ultrasonographic detection of a cavitated splenic mass or nodule was 41.9% (26/62; 95% CI, 30.5% to 54.3%) and specificity was 51.2% (22/43; 95% CI, 36.8% to 65.4%), with a PPV of 55.3% (26/47; 95% CI, 41.2% to 68.6%) and NPV of 37.9% (22/58; 95% CI, 26.6% to 50.8%).

Similarly, 105 dogs were found to have a splenic mass or nodule on abdominal ultrasonography, including 47 (44.8%) with cavitated lesions, and 73 (68.9%) were determined to have a malignancy, including hemangiosarcoma, on the basis of histologic examination of splenic tissues. As a test for malignancy, sensitivity of ultrasonographic detection of a cavitated splenic mass or nodule was 39.7% (29/73; 95% CI, 29.3% to 51.2%), specificity was 43.8% (14/32; 95% CI, 28.2% to 60.7%), PPV was 61.7% (29/47; 95% CI, 47.4% to 74.2%), and NPV was 24.1% (14/58; 95% CI, 15.0% to 36.5%).

Twenty-seven of the 106 (25.5%) dogs were found to have a hepatic mass or nodule on abdominal ultrasonography, and 2 (7.4%) of the 27 lesions were cavitated. Of the 79 dogs found to have a hepatic mass or nodule by abdominal ultrasonography or surgery (or both), 16 (20.3%) had hemangiosarcoma and 21 (26.6%) had a malignancy, including hemangiosarcoma.

Finally, 15 (14.2%) of the 106 dogs were found to have a mass or nodule involving the mesentery or other abdominal structure on abdominal ultrasonography,

![Figure 1](image1.jpg)—Ultrasonographic image of a cavitated splenic lesion in a 12-year-old terrier; the histologic diagnosis was hemangiosarcoma.

![Figure 2](image2.jpg)—Ultrasonographic image of a cavitated splenic lesion in a 15-year-old Pointer; the histologic diagnosis was hematoma.
ment and experience necessary to perform a splenec-
omy but not a liver lobectomy. And, should the source
of bleeding be elsewhere, such as the adrenal glands,
kidneys, or gastrointestinal system, preoperative ab-
dominal ultrasonography could be quite valuable in
planning and prognostication. To our knowledge, it is
not yet known how results of abdominal ultrasono-
graphy specifically influence a clinician’s decision on how
or whether to perform surgery. In a previous study,54
> 50% of dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen that
underwent preoperative abdominal ultrasonography
had a grossly detectable lesion at surgery that was not
found with ultrasonography. And, in a study55 in which
isolated hepatic masses were detected ultrasonographi-
cally, approximately half were not correctly matched
to their true lobe or division. In any case, inappro-
priate attribution of malignancy on the basis of results of
preoperative abdominal ultrasonography could lead to
unjustified euthanasia of dogs with benign disease and
a good prognosis.

The present study had several limitations affecting
the impact of our findings. This was a single-center re-
rospective study, and criteria for identifying which le-
sions were cavitated were not standardized among radi-
ologists. When only still images are available, they may
not fully represent the lesion, and about a third (33/106)
of dogs did not have imaging data to review. Individual
radiologists may use the term cavitated at different rates
or may have differing personal criteria for what consti-
tutes cavitated. Nevertheless, in the present study, 57
(53.8%) of the 106 dogs had at least 1 lesion described
as cavitated, illustrating the pervasive use of this term
in radiologists’ reports. Despite this, to our knowledge,
there is not a defined set of criteria for this pattern de-
scription. A prospective multicenter study incorporat-
ing defined criteria for cavitation or testing radiologists’
gestalt assessments of malignancy may help extend the
evaluation of this topic. Another limitation of the pres-
ent study was that, although histologic examination is
the gold standard, it can be difficult to thoroughly ex-
amine every aspect of the spleen.9,10,14,16–19 The standard
at our institution is to submit the spleen in its entirety
for histologic evaluation by a pathologist. Finally, the
present study’s population was relatively small and only
included dogs with ruptured lesions (ie, nontraumatic
hemoabdomen). This population was chosen because
dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen are often not
stable enough to perform extensive diagnostic testing
prior to surgery, requiring that a decision to proceed
with treatment or euthanasia be made with limited
information. In these time-sensitive situations, overre-
liance on the minimal amount of data available could
lead to inaccurate decisions. Importantly, whether the
present study’s findings are similar to those for dogs
with abdominal lesions but without hemoabdomen is
not known.

In the present study, we only included dogs that un-
derwent surgery, and therefore, our population may not
represent the entire group of dogs with nontraumatic
hemoabdomen. Many dogs with nontraumatic hemoab-
domen are euthanized without undergoing surgery and

Discussion
Results of the present study, as suspected, did not
provide any evidence to support the use of detection of
a cavitated lesion during abdominal ultrasonography as
an indicator of hemangiosarcoma or other malignancy
in dogs. For splenic lesions in particular, the sensitiv-
ity, specificity, PPV, and NPV were all low, making the
test unreliable. There were not enough cases to prop-
erly evaluate diagnostic utility of detecting cavitated
nonsplenic masses or nodules, but only 4 (3.8%) of 106
dogs in our study had a cavitated nonsplenic mass or
odule. Further multicenter studies could likely provide
additional information for this population. However,
our findings suggest that abdominal ultrasonographic
examination for the purpose of distinguishing malig-
nant from nonmalignant splenic or nonsplenic lesions
in dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen will likely
not be helpful. On the other hand, abdominal ultraso-
nography in dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen
may be helpful in surgical planning and confirming the
presence of a bleeding mass or nodule. This could be
especially important for clinicians who have the equip-
ment and experience necessary to perform a splenec-
omy.

and 2 (13.3%) of the 15 lesions were cavitated. Of the 45
dogs found to have a mesenteric or other mass or nod-
ule by abdominal ultrasonography or surgery (or both),
13 (28.9%) had hemangiosarcoma and 18 (40.0%) had
a malignancy, including hemangiosarcoma.

There were too few dogs with hepatic masses or
nodules or mesenteric or other masses or nodules to re-
liaibly calculate sensitivity, specificity, PPV, or NPV.
Histologic diagnoses for the 18 dogs with splenic masses or
nodules that were described as cavitated on abdominal
ultrasonography but were not malignant on histologic
examination were hematoma (n = 10), hematoma and
lymphoid hyperplasia (2), myelolipoma with hemorrh-
age or rupture (2), hemangiomia and hematoma (2),
hematoma and extramedullary hematopoiesis (1), and
lymphoid hyperplasia with hemorrhage (1).

Figure 3—Ultrasonographic image of a noncavitated splenic
lesion in a 9-year-old Labrador; the histologic diagnosis was
hemangiosarcoma.

The present study’s findings are similar to those for dogs
found with ultrasonography. And, in a study56 in which
isolated hepatic masses were detected ultrasonographi-
cally, approximately half were not correctly matched
to their true lobe or division. In any case, inappro-
priate attribution of malignancy on the basis of results of
preoperative abdominal ultrasonography could lead to
unjustified euthanasia of dogs with benign disease and
a good prognosis.

The present study had several limitations affecting
the impact of our findings. This was a single-center re-
rospective study, and criteria for identifying which le-
sions were cavitated were not standardized among radi-
ologists. When only still images are available, they may
not fully represent the lesion, and about a third (33/106)
of dogs did not have imaging data to review. Individual
radiologists may use the term cavitated at different rates
or may have differing personal criteria for what consti-
tutes cavitated. Nevertheless, in the present study, 57
(53.8%) of the 106 dogs had at least 1 lesion described
as cavitated, illustrating the pervasive use of this term
in radiologists’ reports. Despite this, to our knowledge,
there is not a defined set of criteria for this pattern de-
scription. A prospective multicenter study incorporat-
ing defined criteria for cavitation or testing radiologists’
gestalt assessments of malignancy may help extend the
evaluation of this topic. Another limitation of the pres-
ent study was that, although histologic examination is
the gold standard, it can be difficult to thoroughly ex-
amine every aspect of the spleen.9,10,14,16–19 The standard
at our institution is to submit the spleen in its entirety
for histologic evaluation by a pathologist. Finally, the
present study’s population was relatively small and only
included dogs with ruptured lesions (ie, nontraumatic
hemoabdomen). This population was chosen because
dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen are often not
stable enough to perform extensive diagnostic testing
prior to surgery, requiring that a decision to proceed
with treatment or euthanasia be made with limited
information. In these time-sensitive situations, overre-
liance on the minimal amount of data available could
lead to inaccurate decisions. Importantly, whether the
present study’s findings are similar to those for dogs
with abdominal lesions but without hemoabdomen is
not known.

In the present study, we only included dogs that un-
derwent surgery, and therefore, our population may not
represent the entire group of dogs with nontraumatic
hemoabdomen. Many dogs with nontraumatic hemoab-
domen are euthanized without undergoing surgery and

Figure 3—Ultrasonographic image of a noncavitated splenic
lesion in a 9-year-old Labrador; the histologic diagnosis was
hemangiosarcoma.

and 2 (13.3%) of the 15 lesions were cavitated. Of the 45
dogs found to have a mesenteric or other mass or nod-
ule by abdominal ultrasonography or surgery (or both),
13 (28.9%) had hemangiosarcoma and 18 (40.0%) had
a malignancy, including hemangiosarcoma.

There were too few dogs with hepatic masses or
nodules or mesenteric or other masses or nodules to re-
liaably calculate sensitivity, specificity, PPV, or NPV. His-
tologic diagnoses for the 18 dogs with splenic masses or
nodules that were described as cavitated on abdominal
ultrasonography but were not malignant on histologic
examination were hematoma (n = 10), hematoma and
lymphoid hyperplasia (2), myelolipoma with hemorrh-
age or rupture (2), hemangiomia and hematoma (2),
hematoma and extramedullary hematopoiesis (1), and
lymphoid hyperplasia with hemorrhage (1).
do not have a necropsy performed. Therefore, the true percentage of dogs with nontraumatic hemoabdomen that have benign disease is unknown. Regardless, for dogs in the present study, there was no evidence to support a diagnosis of malignancy on the basis of ultrasonographic detection of a cavitated lesion, and such a finding should not influence any recommendation or decision regarding euthanasia. Other preoperative tests, such as thoracic radiography, hemangiosarcoma likelihood predictor scoring, and contrast-enhanced imaging, may be of higher clinical value in predicting the presence of malignant lesions in this population of dogs.
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